

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	I am glad you have included a target of reducing carbon emissions, but you should include a target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, because without alternative renewable sources of energy you will never hit the emission target. Traffic in Winchester causes horrible pollution and I breathe that air as a pedestrian every day. We need better bus services so car drivers use the bus instead of the car, plus reducing the number of car park spaces. Whenever my bus is late I am told it is because of traffic congestion, which is a catch-22. When we lost one of our bus services in Littleton (the number 7 departure at 09.04) I wrote to James Byrnes and only received an automated reply to say the email had been received. Winchester will thrive with less traffic, and the pollution levels are dangerously high.
Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work to change attitudes to waste, and significantly improve recycling levels</li> <li>• Find creative ways to reduce harmful emissions, based on sound evidence and holistic transport planning</li> </ul> <p>Better late than never!      Where there's a will.....?</p> <p>'Past performance' may not be guide so let us hope for a transformation of awareness by Councillors and Officers who are now largely distrusted by electors who care about our City area</p>
Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•The aim to cut emissions is good but why has the target of a 40% cut by 2020 been omitted?.</li> <li>•There should be a target for 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, the Council will not hit its emission target.</li> </ul>
Yes	<p>1. I am pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020 but the strategy must lay out clear actions to achieve this.</p> <p>2.The Council should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.</p>
Yes	<p>1.But the Strategy needs to be strengthened by specifying a target of cutting emissions by 40% by 2020, and - as a necessary means to make that emissions cut - by specifying a target, also by 2020 - of increasing to 15% the proportion of energy used from renewable sources.</p> <p>2. Motor traffic is not the only source of emissions. The Strategy should also include the aim of cutting greenhouse gas emissions from buildings, waste and other sources.</p>
Yes	A major gripe of mine is the level of litter on the trunk roads around our city and I would like to see more resources aimed at this area. It creates a very bad impression to visitors arriving in our town, is unsightly and a danger to wildlife. I have undertaken litter expeditions on my own in the past (with a litter picking stick!) and amongst the usual culprits have picked up soiled babies nappies and plastic bottles full of urine. Pretty disgusting. I was pleased to see notices up this year and think more are required. I remember the 'Keep Britain Tidy' campaign of years ago and
Yes	A qualified yes, as there needs to be more done: need to increase use of renewable energy, and not concentrate only on traffic.
Yes	A safe and pleasant environment depends on the Council addressing the homelessness situation and providing more for this vulnerable group. Less homeless begging on streets as a result
Yes	A small improvement to the environment would be encourage motorists to turn off their car engines when stationary for more than one minute
Yes	Absolutely agree on the need to reduce emissions as well as protecting green space. The target of a 40% emissions cut by 2020 should be clearly stated along with a target of 15% of energy in the district from renewable sources by 2020 and 100% of Council energy coming from renewable resources by the same date. It would also be good to see local investment to encourage
Yes	Absolutely agree with all points, but believe there is the need for setting targets, even if challenging , say 15% of energy from renewable sources within this stagey time scale, which will be vital to reduce emissions as well as changing attitude to travel , waste and recycling.

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	Afraid to say you are at the moment failing in ensuring all area of city not just city centre are catered for. I am appalled at the amounts of litter just thrown down especially in the estates surrounding the city. Haven know what tourist think compared to their own country. Don't leave it all to "The Winchester City Litter Pickers" volunteers. Motivate your staff.
Yes	Again aggressive targets should be set for emission controls and the use of renewable energy sources promoted for all commercial, industrial and domestic use. Recycling targets should also be aggressive and facilities improved to recycle/reuse more waste
Yes	Again good objectives I am sceptical as to recycling collections- which I support, however there is an assumption that people will know what you want recycled. The big green bins may be too all encompassing and perhaps you might trial say regular collections of newspaper and separate collections of glass, Again the broad aspirations are fine but where are the targets to be working towards
Yes	For many years WCC has been working to change attitudes to waste and to improve recycling rates yet levels have Fallen. What new strategies are you proposing? Bullet point on emissions has no target and only relates to Transport emissions. Need a new bullet point with a target for emissions from buildings and waste. This section should include a new point on use of renewable energy - a target of 15% or more of energy from renewable sources.
Yes	Again with a degree of scepticism. I don't want my 'Yes' above to be taken (in the way that WCC tends to misrepresent its survey questionnaires) as an agreement to Council ambitions for development, What on earth does "creative ways to reduce harmful emissions, based on sound evidence and holistic transport planning " mean? Reducing harmful emissions in Winchester does not require creative thinking, it is very simple; the trouble is that the Council has consistently been
Yes	Again, complete agreement
Yes	Again, please think about the District not just Winchester
Yes	Again, the details here will be crucial. Our elected representatives have an urgent duty to be as innovative and pro-active as possible to bring about the necessary results.
Yes	Again, well-intentioned. Improvement is already being made, but greater urgency is required.
Yes	Agree about reducing harmful emissions. I suspect this means due to transport. In Winchester City this could be achieved by reducing traffic. It should also mean greenhouse gas emissions. These can be reduced by encouraging renewable energy in the district. Great that Sparsholt green gas was approved. Sad about Bullington Cross windfarm.
Yes	Agree on the whole. Flood resilience ; good should include stopping developers building on flood plain! and planting of trees/large shrubs near rivers/ponds/lakes - they help drink/soak up the water. Our Historic City and heritage must be protected! No modernistic building at Silver Hill or Station Approach; no more destroying of old buildings; less City Centre parking; more and improved park and ride. The evidence for harmful emissions is already there - it now needs
Yes	Air quality in the city must be addressed.
Yes	Air quality is very poor around town. More lay-bys on St George street to stop vans blocking the one way system. For example, on the unused cobbled area just below the Royal Oak. Less city
Yes	All good stuff and welcome - but the strategy shout be more specific on how it will aim to reduce emissions (include the 40% target cut by 2020, and make a specific commitment to renewables
Yes	All sounds good - but what will actually be done?

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
	All sounds great.
Yes	When we went to fortnightly bin collection, I started recycling and composting most things. We got lots of information then. Reducing tip opening times and charges for hardcore from home improvements will not help this aim.
	Use of open spaces - I hate shared paths with cyclists. Small children on bikes are fine, ditto dog walkers, joggers, outside gym users - but not serious cyclists who go to fast.
Yes	All the above aims are good, but it would be even better to have specific targets, and ways of measuring achievement. For instance a specific cut in emissions levels, and specific recycling targets. The council could also take a lead in increasing the range of items which can be recycled.
Yes	All the environmental aims are focused on the local issues, as one of the more prosperous areas of the UK, which is one of the most prosperous countries of the world we should also be looking at measure to reduce carbon emissions, to play our part in the global need to avoid catastrophic climate change. I would like to see Winchester taking a lead in changing attitudes to carbon emissions and the impact on climate change, and supporting initiatives to enable households to
Yes	Also need a more specific commitment to rural heritage - wildlife, landscape, settlements
Yes	also: work to promote and facilitate the use of sustainable forms of energy production - such as wind power, utilising the ebb and flow of the tidal waters for power generation etc.
Yes	Any ways to encourage re-cycling and cut down on waste must be a benefit to the environment
Yes	Assuming the development is for the betterment of the community and doesn't involve building on
Yes	Ban diesel vehicles in the centre. Better still ban vehicles other than service vehicles.
	Take land from Winchester School and create road links to provide ring road around Winchester.
Yes	But it does not go far enough:  I welcome the aim to cut emissions; but a target of 40% cut by 2020 should be included too. Also add the target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, you will never hit their emission target. Traffic is a big source of emissions, but not the only one. You should state clearly that they will also aim to cut greenhouse gas emissions from waste, buildings, etc.
Yes	But the reduction in harmful emissions needs a definite target eg 40% and a planned date to
Yes	But you do need to be realistic with household waste recycling centres and not charge or we will end up with significantly more fly tipping
Yes	Can we look at recycling food waste and plastics?
Yes	Carbon emissions reduction needs to be called out and given a specific target. Need a goal to increase provision and use of renewable energy. Reverse strategy of encouraging population growth.
Yes	Care should be taken not to alienate the population by becoming too forceful and demanding in relation to such things as recycling. We don't need any more nannies.

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	'Change attitudes to waste' - I absolutely concur and would like the Council/appointed contractors to do home collection of more types of recyclable waste, e.g. PET plastic food containers, aluminium foil, tetrapaks. Also to encourage businesses (including the large supermarkets) to reduce packaging (especially black plastic) and increase recycling provision.
Yes	'Reduce harmful emissions' - excellent, but please quantify, e.g. aim to cut emissions by 40% by 2020. NB this target can only be reached by using renewable energy, so a 15% renewable generation target by 2020 should be added.  Holistic transport planning needs to address daily traffic jams that pump out fumes and lower quality of life.
Yes	Charging for using recycling centres won't improve recycling levels.
Yes	Cycle routes - all over the city - connected to each other - safe and off-road - a prerequisite for all new housing developments and an obligation for the developers to provide - NOW. Please. (see I
Yes	Cycle routes especially from Barton farm. Reduce diesel fumes - start s congestion charge in the
Yes	Cyclists are a menace. They think the rules of the road do not apply to them. They need a lot of education. For those of us who walk much need s to be done. For a start all hedges should be trimmed back. and not allowed to hang over pavements. We should just be able to walk and not
Yes	Development is necessary but planning should be sympathetic to the historical nature of the city. If we allow the centre of Winchester to be overrun with high rise buildings it will not be so attractive
Yes	do it don't just say it - public confidence in it's local authority is lost when planning and other processes ultimately ignore public opinion - more thought on new building design and quality and
Yes	Do it.
Yes	Do more to generate renewable energy within the district. Reduce through flow of traffic through city. Make more bicycle friendly. Air pollution is awful in city and I worry about the effect upon my
Yes	Does not address the traffic problem , air quality and alternatoves to cars
Yes	Dredging and deepening rivers would be cheaper and more effective option, than for example:
Yes	Emissions - the Strategy should include a target - a 40% cut by 2020.  There should be a set renewable energy target - 15% of energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.
Yes	Empty shops need to be kept in a better state than at present. They spoil the impression of the
Yes	Especially on the curbside recycling front.
Yes	Focus on measures to reduce harmful emissions!
Yes	Generally agree
Yes	Good luck, if you actually provided parking As demand shows is needed then you will cut
Yes	Good to see reduced emissions featuring in the aims; these need to be quantified at 40% by 2020. All development should include a planning requirement to reduce emissions and car use.  The walking environment needs improvement; surfaces, prevention of pavement parking, overhanging vegetation, drainage, street signs and furniture and, most importantly, more
Yes	Great plans that are at odds with recent council behaviour around Silver Hill where heritage came a poor second to profit.
Yes	Great to hear this. And a focus on green spaces, parks and trees are important.
Yes	Greater support for Winchester's rural areas would be good and support for Winchester District residents in accessing the City. For example the current suggestion of doubling parking charges for Winchester car parks would have an adverse financial impact for residents living north of
Yes	'Holistic transport planning' needs unpacking. This requires investment in infrastructure as well as just services. Safe cycle lanes? Lighting?

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	However our local plan in bishops Waltham feels like it is being pushed through without local people having enough consultation. None of us are happy with levels of new housing but if needed..... just make sure infrastructural support doesn't damage the environment
Yes	I agree strongly with this. There should be a targeted strategy to reduce emissions and increase local renewable energy. I have invested in a little entrepreneurial not-for-profit company in Somerset (Brandon Energy) which installs solar on local schools etc. I'd like to do the same here. I also want to run an electric car which I can charge on the street. An option to install a charging
Yes	I agree with the "outcome", but again can see little that is actually concrete for me to be able to say that I full concur with it.  Everything we do in this city with respect to the environment seems to be to push the problem elsewhere, i.e. we won't take any responsibility for power generation, meaning somebody else has to put up with the power stations on their doorsteps, etc. I'm not saying that we need huge great powerstations, but we should drive for as much generation as possible from the community and the space we have, to minimise the amount of energy we have to import into the district, e.g.
Yes	I agree with the Environment outcomes outlined EXCEPT for the proposition that 'allowing development to take place which enables our historic environment to evolve over time' can actually be achieved. So far every large-scale development planned, promoted or approved by the Council since World War II has destroyed, disfigured or covered some of the most important and interesting aspects of Winchester's historic environment. If 'evolution' means to continuing to knock down badly-maintained buildings, e.g. Friarsgate Car Park or unprofitable, disliked shopping areas, e.g. The Brooks, then that would not be such a bad thing. However, it is more likely that most will be retained, so the 'evolution' of the historic environment will bear witness to the
Yes	I am amazed that traffic is not mentioned here. Traffic in the city centre needs to be drastically reduced. The way to do this is to close car parks, make the remaining car parks prohibitively expensive as they have done in other cathedral cities, allow only buses, taxis, residents and the disabled to drive in the city centre. This would create a better, healthier environment for everyone.
Yes	I am delighted that the council aim to reduce harmful emissions and I hope it would consider it important to cut them by 40% by 2020 in line with the international agreement. In order to do this the council need to phase in a planning policy that would require new developments to include design features that use alternative renewable sources of energy and limit the harmful greenhouse gas emissions from domestic and commercial waste, in accordance with target of international agreement of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020. The council have a fantastic opportunity to set a strategy that leads the way and practically demonstrates that Winchester truly cherishes its natural and historical environment.
Yes	I am encouraged that you are committed to cutting emissions but in order to achieve your emission targets the Council needs to set a target of generating 15% (or more) of energy needs from renewable, non-polluting resources by 2020. I drive an electric car so was pleased to see dedicated charging points appear in the Brooks Car Park. Putting in many more in the town centre can only be a positive move in persuading drivers to
Yes	I am happy to see a commitment to cutting emissions in the strategy. Please include a target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, as without alternative renewable sources of energy the emission target cannot be met.  Apart from reducing traffic emissions, I would also like to see the strategy to state clearly that the
Yes	I am interesting that WCC is going to use CREATIVE ways to reduce reduce emissions. I assume this is aimed at reducing Carbon Dioxide and other greenhouses gases not just vehicle emissions. Dealing with leaking landfill sites, reducing rotting waste etc can also reduce GHG missions significantly. As for enhancing the use of open spaces, how about more community food growing in public open spaces? Those who cannot run or throw a ball could benefit hugely from spending time in a

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	I am pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020 but the strategy must lay out clear actions to achieve this. They should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.
Yes	I am pleased that the Council are taking the issue of cutting emissions in our exceptional medieval city seriously, and the target of a 40% cut is a sound one: how is this going to be achieved? The strategy should lay out clear actions which can be implemented and assessed in terms of efficiency.  In addition to tackling emissions , the council should also be setting a renewable energy target for district: a target of 15% from renewable sources by 2020 would be reasonable. there are many
Yes	I am pleased that the Council wants to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020, but the strategy must have clear actions in order to achieve this.  A renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources should be set up by 2020.
Yes	I believe increasing vehicle congestion is a key threat to the wellbeing of Winchester. I support a significant toughening of policies - this to me means much greater incentives and disincentives for car owners to do the 'right' thing - car sharing scheme, subsidised bus travel, much higher parking charges, better cycling provision, more pedestrianisation etc.  The Strategy should include a commitment to cut the emissions target too, a 40% cut by 2020 would be a great goal.  I'd like to see the Council doing more to force local retailers to smarten up their outlets - looking closely around Winchester there is much work to do on this topic.  I'd love to see the Council to do much more to encourage safe healthy cycling as a means of leisure and practical transport. For me this centres on investment in new safe coherent cycling
Yes	I feel you need to have a definite target to cut emissions to 40% by the end of 2020 which could be achievable.  Greenhouse gas emissions should also be cut from buildings, and waste as well as traffic.
Yes	I fundamentally believe this is linked to the planning strategy. There is a real danger with planning that the area will lose its distinct character and appeal because it becomes more urban and generic in appearance. We see this in planning as village boundaries blur amongst themselves and large scale developments cut across boundaries. This all needs to be carefully considered to ensure we don't lose the environmental character with more being done to improve
Yes	I have responded in the past to a survey about waste and not heard what the results have led to in terms of a change to the council's current waste management strategy. I am very well aware that there are parts of the country where the local councils are collecting and recycling far more different types of waste from the roadside than is done here. As an example, we cannot recycle, glass or plastic without having to take it to the recycling site, which most people are not going to bother to do. Also food waste should be being collected. I believe too that the council should be encouraging "garage sales" or community car boot sales for residents to more easily pass on

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	<p>I hope the open spaces around River Park will be kept that way. They provide people with easily accessible green space. They act as a buffer for the Winnall Moors nature reserve. They are part of the Itchen's floodplain and do regularly flood in winter.</p> <p>Emissions from traffic and other sources should be reduced by 40% by 2020.</p> <p>You make no mention of enhancing biodiversity. This can be done in many simple and imaginative ways , swift boxes on new buildings, meadow areas in parks, tree planting, green roofs for example. All of these make for a healthier and more attractive human environment.</p>
Yes	<p>I like the word `creative' - the first time it has appeared and it is good to see it in the context of environment.</p> <p>If all the above were adhered to and developed then that suggests a good future for all.</p> <p>I would, however, like to see these aims translated into definite targets such as 15% of energy</p>
Yes	<p>I sort of agree. Re attitudes to waste - difficult to change except by making not wasting very easy. and incentivising recycling. To reduce harmful emissions and make the city centre a pleasant place to be, traffic must be reduced. The Council could concentrate on ensuring easy ACCESS to the shopping areas - which does not have to be by car as so many people seem to assume.</p>
Yes	<p>I suggest you need to see if your overall strategy hangs together. You cant do everything and if you are not careful you will fail big time. The failure to bring in the down-town project which will see the area blighted for at least another decade after a wasted decade is very bad indeed.</p>
Yes	<p>I support community-led plans across the District, of which there are now 26 completed and a further 7 under development. Issues which are regularly reflected include recycling, feelings of safety, high quality and well used open spaces, flood resilience, children's play provision. Other issues of concern to communities are less well reflected in the outcome and aims, such as the need for a good basic level of maintenance of hedges, verges, footpaths. Also a common desire for some form of community growing initiative, either through more traditional allotments or a more contemporary community growing space or co-operative approach.</p> <p>By far the most common set of issues identified in community-led plans is related to roads, traffic and transport. While much of the statutory responsibility for this sits with Hampshire County Council, the Strategy does recognise the role of the Council in this area. However, the extent to which it is mentioned does not reflect the importance these issues carry with our communities. The Council's role in enhancing the footpath and cycleway network is vital and its role in coping with, and mitigating, the demand for parking is hardly mentioned. The evidence from community-</p>
Yes	<p>I think a major way to improve this is to improve the collection of household recycling - there are many things that cannot be collected here that are collected in many other places, glass being the main one - it still amazes me that I need to collect and take my glass bottles/jars to be recycled, where in most other areas these can be placed in the same recycling bin. even if separate bins are provided specifically for glass. The inconvenience of having to do it myself and not having</p>
Yes	<p>I think specific targets must be set, included and adhered to with regard to emissions - 40% by 2020 as recommended in the April 2015 'Working towards a low carbon district' report.</p> <p>At least 15% of the energy used in the Distric should come from renewable sources by the end of 2020.</p> <p>Introduce food waste recycling.</p> <p>Promote use of bicycles and electric vehicles.</p>

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	I think traffic movement is the biggest problems in Winchester at the moment. If I could make one change for the better it would be to be bold with a transport strategy and drastically reduce the number of cars and unsuitably large vehicles passing through the city centre one way system. In the interim it would be better if you could provide more delivery and "drop-off" laybys around the
Yes	I think you have the emissions target about right but wonder if you can hit them without increasing the source of energy from renewables. Suggest a target of somewhere in the region of 15-25% by 2020-25.  I would also like to see the specific targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, including from
Yes	I welcome the aim to cut carbon emissions - the City Council's target of 40% reduction by 2020 should be explicitly stated here.  A target of at least 15% of energy coming from renewable sources should also be included here. It will be critical to meeting the emissions reduction target. This will require work not only on transport emissions but also on energy-efficiency in buildings (notably Winchester City Council's offices).  If the leisure centre is to be replaced, it's successor should be state of the art in terms of its efficiency and incorporation of renewable energy sources.
Yes	I welcome the aim to cut emissions but a target of 40% cut by 2020 should be included too. WCC must lead by example.  A target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020 should also be included otherwise the stated emission target will not be met.  Traffic is a big source of emissions, but not the only one. The strategy should clearly state that
Yes	I welcome the aim to cut emissions; as the current levels of pollution are illegal, so it needs a specific target of a 40% cut by 2020 to make it effective .  Similarly WCC should add a target of at least 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, you will never hit your emission target.
Yes	I welcome the aim to reduce harmful emissions but to date there is little sign of the implementations which would achieve that end. Rather the reverse as WCC are increasing the No of parking places in the city centre. All run counter to this declared policy. You make no mention of public transport, which needs to be enhanced. Levels of parking in new developments (Barton Farm, Pitt Manor, former Police HQ site) are all too high, making the realisation of this policy unachievable.  The firm target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020 should be added to the statement, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, they'll never hit the emission target.  Traffic is a big cause of emissions, but not the only one. There should be a clear statement that
Yes	I welcome the commitment to cutting emissions. The Strategy should include a target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, you'll never hit their emission target.  Traffic is a big cause of emissions, but not the only one. The the Strategy should state clearly that



Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	I welcome the commitment to reduce emissions. Per Q5, these emissions should include nitrogen oxides, particulate matter and carbon dioxide. The council should set stretch-targets for emissions reductions, including a 40% cut in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 (relative to a 2005 baseline). The strategy should explicitly mention reducing greenhouse gas emissions from heating and electricity in buildings, as the current wording could be interpreted as only relating to transport emissions. There should also be a stretch-target for renewable energy generation - such as 15% of the energy used in Winchester District being from renewable sources by 2020 - since longer-
Yes	I welcome the commitment to reducing emissions but think you should include a target in the strategy of reducing emissions by 15% directly from renewable sources otherwise this target is useless. Traffic is a big cause but not the only one - there should be a commitment to reducing greenhouse gases through improved waste management. The comparison between home
Yes	I welcome the policy to cut emissions; the aim for a 40% cut by 2020 should be included as a challenging and aspirational target.  An additional target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020 would be valuable, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, the Local Authority cannot possibly hit the emission targets.  Traffic is a major source of emissions, but not the only one. The outcome should state clearly that
Yes	I would also encourage the council to start weekly glass collection with the rest of the recyclable waste. This has to be more efficient and economic in the long run?
Yes	I would like to see bold policies in relation to waste/recycling and the reduction of harmful emissions. I am anxious that the need to maximise income generation (i.e. business proposals)
Yes	I would like to see greater focus and priority on park and ride and traffic restrictions to reduce city centre traffic to reduce diesel emissions which are poisonous.
Yes	If only WCC had been doing all this for the last 10 years. No mention of doing more to encourage/facilitate walking and cycling - why not?
Yes	I'm particular concerned about pollution through vehicle omissions And would also like to see greater use of renewable energy.
Yes	Important points, but there has not been a very good track record on many aspects of this in the past. We do NOT need big development like the Brooks - silver Hill, which is in de sparser need of development needs to reflect the varied nature of the buildings of the city and not put in the hands
Yes	Improve kerbside recycling, eg glass, more types of plastic. The current strategy depends on residents having access to cars and having the time to drive to recycling points. Not everyone drives and therefore some of us are excluded from some recycling.
Yes	Improving recycling outcomes is key. It needs to go further than the very broad brush approach that is currently employed.
Yes	in addition, look at how to reduce harmful emissions from all sources - not just the transport element. especially if a new round of housing development is going to be taking place.  reward businesses (through recommendation and promotion) for operating in an environmentally sustainable way. energy efficient, recyclable packaging and non-exploitative staffing policies.  promoting schemes that promote environmentally friendly issues to the younger generations through schools and youth schemes. challenge the next generation to think and work differently to
Yes	In finding creative ways to reduce harmful emissions it would be useful for public debate and measurement of Council success to know what target is to be set.

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	<p>In general terms I agree with this series of general, rather than specific, measures. As a longtime member and supporter of Winchester Action on Climate Change (WinACC), I do believe you do need to be a bit more specific in this your proposed Strategy Statement.</p> <p>1. I am aware that the Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020. Why not let the general public be aware of this in your Strategy Statement. It is a practical statement and one that would be meaningful to most of your constituents, many of whom are becoming much more aware of the realities and real challenges of Climate Change.</p> <p>2. I understand that you have also come round to the possible introduction of setting a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District coming from renewable sources by 2020. Why not also use this as a Council policy statement, as it also will be of significance to many of your constituents who are becoming more aware of the same realities and</p>
Yes	In particular harmful emissions. A 40% cut by 2020 and increase in renewables of 15% by 2020
Yes	Increase products that can be recycled such as plastic tubs etc
Yes	<p>Increase responses to reports of litter. Currently poor! Use sponsorship of public venues to attract funding to raise level of litter clearance and fly tipping collections.</p> <p>Give better feedback to those who make reports of litter and fly tipping. Again its poor! Encourage WCC Councillors to be far more active in reporting what's under their noses in their</p>
Yes	Introduce targets for emissions cuts to say 40% by 2020 and monitor progress towards reaching this. Also include target for energy usage , from renewable resources, 10-15% and work towards this.
Yes	<p>It is crucial that the council takes a 30,000 foot view of the city in all its planning to avoid the piecemeal approach that appeared to be emerging with nothing joined up, either conceptually or physically.</p> <p>All the above points are critical for this. I would also make the metaphorical point that a 30,000 foot view is not possible if the city is covered in a cloud of smog. Renewable energy and emissions are therefore a critical part of the holistic view.</p> <p>i think the council should pay serious attention to how the concept of a 'slow city' could be built into future developments as part of the holistic approach. There is evidence that its implementation can contribute to achieving that sorts of aims the council aspires to and which I have referred to</p>
Yes	<p>It is crucial to have an emissions target to measure performance against and I welcome the 40% target. However unless you add a target of 15% of energy coming from renewable sources you won't make the emissions target.</p> <p>Traffic is a big cause of emissions but here are others such as cutting greenhouse gas emissions from businesses, buildings and so on.</p> <p>One way to reduce traffic would be to review the public transport strategy especially for those of us in outlying areas. I never use the bus (69) to come into the city as i have to walk a mile to the</p>
Yes	It is essential to cut emissions, but the council needs very clear targets, and a standard section on every committee report to say how its proposals will contribute. A 50% cut by 2020 would be difficult, but anything less will not deliver enough. Planning policies promoting renewable energy would be good with a minimum target of 20% increase by 2020. This would mean all renewable energy applications would be automatically approved until the minimal threshold has been reached. Transport emissions are the worst, but there should be strong policies too on waste and building design. Shops should be required to close their doors in hot and cold weather. All large

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	<p>It is good to see that the Winchester City Councillors have adopted the aim of tackling emissions – which definitely needs to be addressed. Having a target of 40% reduction in emissions by 2020 is great but how is this going to be achieved? Surely, any strategy should present a clear set of actions in order to define how this is going to be achieved.</p> <p>In terms of renewables then surely if the Paris Climate Change agreement is worth anything, then there should be a renewable energy target set and of course ideally, this should be 20% by 2020, but let's start, shall we with say 15%?</p>
Yes	It is important to take expert care concerning the 'evolution' of our historic environment, as short-sighted planning and 'challenging', economic architecture can easily have a negative long-term impact.
Yes	It is vital that we respect climate change and promote renewable energy use as much as possible
Yes	It is vital to sort out the unsightly mess that forms the city centre. For so long this has horrified tourists and residents alike. It really is a disgrace in a city with any sort of environmental
Yes	It would be good if the range of kerbside collections could be increased to cover glass, batteries, and food waste. It would also be good if the technology can be invested in to enable recyclable material that is not currently collected to be collected (plastic packaging and tetrapaks for
Yes	<p>It's good to see that cutting emissions is a planned outcome. By How Much?? 1% or 40% or 80%..... There's got to be some hard edged bits here: A target of 40% by 2020 would be very good -- let's go for it and be entrepreneurial!</p> <p>It's reasonable to have a target of 15% - 20% of energy from renewables by 2020. Again, the City Council should go for it!</p> <p>They should add the target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, they'll never hit their emission target.</p> <p>Traffic journies (cars) should be drastically cut. There's a reasonable bus service in most parts of</p>
Yes	<p>Kerbside glass recycling</p> <p>Kerbside food waste collection for council composting (like in Canada)</p> <p>Improve recycling in general</p> <p>Commit to control climate change</p>
Yes	Levels of recycling are still poor in comparison to other areas of the country. Still no provision for Tetrapaks or food waste anywhere near Wickham , glass recycling badly managed.
Yes	Make sure Silver Hill does not compromise the City Centre environment. Keep all buildings low. Go for creative and quirky buildings which may be very contemporary but tip a cap to the existing architecture. The most recent city centre developments e.g. Friarsgate, Brooks, Kings Walk, M&S have not been impressive. We want award winning design not "me too" shopping arcades.
Yes	Makes sense.
Yes	More recycling is good - glass recycling would be even better for curbside services.
Yes	<p>More trees and green spaces with all new urban developments or regeneration. The number of trees in the Silver Hill and Station approach was a joke. Trees absorb pollution an enhance our environment.</p> <p>Local materials should be used, for paving I noted on both Silver Hill and The Station approach granite was suggested, this is not local or in character.</p>

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	Need to reduce cars and traffic in the town centre, buses are really expensive  Open spaces need protecting, why have you taken down virtually all the basketball hoops in the city, it is the fastest growing sport in the U.K. With a really high participation in Winchester but children can't play anywhere
Yes	none
Yes	Not enough strategy here - a bit vague. Housing development needs to be carefully balanced with the need for green spaces - question who will be buying any new houses and are they really needed, particularly expensive ones? There are few older properties in Winchester and a lot of new development which spoils its character. Equally, building on flood plains should be resolutely
Yes	Not sure what you mean by enhancing open spaces. Some spaces need to be muddy and messy.
Yes	Of course, through everything suggested in previous answers; and in particular using Bushfield and Flowerdown as the primary sources of so called proper jobs.
Yes	One of the biggest challenges facing our City is congestion and pollution. We urgently need to consider alternatives. Has the Council considered moving all long-term parking (residential excluded) to park & ride sites? Traffic in, out and around the City is dreadful at peak times due to commuter volumes. Shifting this out of town completely will enhance travel times in to the City thereby reducing pollution and making Winchester a better place to work/live. Look at Cities like
Yes	One way in which to make the City healthier is to increase the Park & Ride network to enable people more parking options. Work with organisations such as the hospital to ensure that the park and ride is running when shifts start and finish.  Make it more attractive to leave the car at home, speed up the traffic around the city. The present system was designed to slow the traffic down and nothing has been done to speed it up to stop the traffic being stationary in parts of the city like St Georges Street.  This is where good quality officers are needed to ensure they look at these problems objectively as I have said we have staff who are just looking to wait for their retirement. There needs to be a
Yes	Particularly agree with development of a traffic plan. Also agree about re-cycling although reducing opening hours of waste transfer tips sounds like the commitment to improved recycling
Yes	Pedestrianise the Broadway and use it as the primary place for regular market stalls - including Farmers Market. Build a new bus station on existing site (as part of Friarsgate development) and use Friarsgate for ingress and egress of buses and coaches.  The Brooks shopping Mall does not work. A redeveloped Broadway / lower High Street should be refurbished / lightly redeveloped to replace the Brooks. Convert the Brooks into a residential site (and possibly include some office space for development).
Yes	Please also include good provision for electric vehicles and buses. This will become an important element for transport, a bus depot will be needed with good charging facilities. This trend is
Yes	Please be more sensitive to public views on the appropriateness of new or re-developments, especially in the centre of Winchester. The fiasco over Silver Hill brought credit to no one, and raised serious questions about the integrity of some of the council's actions and decisions.

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	<p>Please include an objective for reducing climate change as a priority. (If this is not tackled, many other strategies will become increasingly irrelevant). Added to this, many of the strategies to lessen climate change have corresponding benefits for community health and well-being and for conserving our natural environment.</p> <p>Clear objectives are needed - a 40% emissions reduction by 2020 and a bigger commitment to renewable energy across the District in the same timescale - 15% has been suggested as a reasonable target.</p> <p>Good to see transport included here - but please take some action soon.</p> <p>Future developments need to be really sensitive to their environments, with the views and suggestions of local people genuinely included and able to influence the plans. They also need to be carbon-neutral.</p> <p>Working more closely with the farming community would be helpful - both to enhance links for</p>
Yes	Please keep up with all the work to keep green spaces in our environment it's so important especially for children growing up here
Yes	Please provide bigger recycling bins as the bin men will not take extra even though your policy
Yes	<p>Please reduce light pollution, why do I see more and more bright lights being placed on the rural periphery of Winchester. Save money, switch off many lights in the city centre after perhaps 1am. Encourage offices, shops to switch off. Be eco-minded!</p> <p>Recycling could be better, what about recycling of green waste right across the board?</p> <p>Stop trashing central Winchester with dreadful building programmes, such as the hideous new Silver Hill shopping centre. Who on earth, ever thought that bland, out-of-scale plastic facades, would enhance the historic fabric of Winchester. I would be embarrassed to invite international tourists to our city if this scheme ever goes ahead. Look at what is going on in Dresden, a rebuilding programme of beautiful buildings, can't we just create some sympathy with what is already there?! It's bad enough half of the city was bulldozed in the 1960s to make way for cars.</p>
Yes	<p>pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions. The Strategy should include the actual target too, a 40% cut by 2020</p> <p>should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020</p> <p>a review of traffic patterns and an extension of the park and ride scheme to the west/ north of the city as well as the existing sites</p>
Yes	<p>Pleased to see the flood defence works around the Art College. Dismayed to see the road drains and culverts blocked with leaves (same as last year) so the first serious rainfall will mean floods all round.</p> <p>No item about tourism ? The city centre is currently a disaster zone of cheap ( but not inexpensive</p>
Yes	Plus air quality. Very important and renewable energy will help keep the air quality safe.
Yes	Prioritise a City center traffic and parking strategy. Ensure this is linked in to the concept of transport hubs and aligns with Station Approach, Silver Hill schemes etc.

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	Priority must be to reduce diesel emissions review traffic flow, one way system Incentivise recycling - include glass and food waste Open spaces must be protected within city and outside city
Yes	PROMOTE HYBRID / ELECTRIC for ALL TAXIS within 5 years or NO LICENCE !! Reduce EMPTY BUSES .. If not used reduce the frequency (IN Town Routes) STOP further OUT OF TOWN megastores to prevent cross congestion SUPPORT / PROMOTE ideas to stop TAKING CHILDREN TO SCHOOL by car ... use your local SCHOOL or MOVE !!!!! You only have to see the difference in traffic during school holidays to see the IMPACT the PICK YOUR SCHOOL policy has GLASS RECYCLING BINS .. one per area DO NOT BUILD on flood plains . DREDGE RIVERS
Yes	Protecting people's homes against flood and safety should be top if the list. What about the safety of schools? I am reminded of Oliver's Battery Primary school where anyone can still walk onto the site through an unlocked gate. Surely this is far behind what most schools are doing and should
Yes	Protecting the flood plain is essential. There must be no more building on vulnerable spaces.
Yes	Recently you have started charging for some use of the recycling facilities. I think this is in contradiction to how you want to achieve your objective.
Yes	Recycling could be improved if there was doorstep glass collection
Yes	Recycling in Winchester is very poor. We need roadside collection of far more items including glass. Instead we receive chastising letters and even the threat of fines for trying to recycle items that the Council cannot deal with. The Council need to take the lead here. It is way behind the
Yes	Recycling promotion needs the committed cooperation of the Landscape Group who refuse to honour the recycling sections of waste bins in Abbey Gardens, Inner & Outer Closes. Both sections use black bin-liners and go to general waste. Education and training of the Landscape
Yes	Recycling provision is terrible and it was encouraging to see a recent consultation on this. Doing more to raise awareness from the ground up by making it easier to recycle easily reusable items such as glass seems like a no-brainer given what WCC says it wants to achieve.
Yes	Reduce emissions and an diesel vehicle from the city. Much of our civic infrastructure is a mess and the whole city and environs need tidying up. Compared with other historic cities (Bath, York), Winchester is very shabby with little sign of any local pride in our surroundings. As custodians of Winchester for future generations we leave much
Yes	Reduce harmful emissions, including carbon dioxide, is a worthy priority. In order to achieve this all other policy areas should be examined to ensure they do not undermine this objective. For instance reducing traffic will not be achieved if new leisure facilities are developed further out of the city centre. Climate change is the biggest threat we face, so big that it is easier to wish it wasn't happening and shirk the hard realities of the measures necessary to address it. Reducing emissions from vehicles and from energy-generation is one way in which the council has the opportunity to play a constructive role. Promoting low-energy use in new and existing housing, and
Yes	Reducing traffic and encouraging cycling should be a major part of these aims, partly to increase activity and combat obesity; and partly to improve air quality. There are some very exciting cycle schemes happening around the country. What about opening up some disused railway lines as useful (rather than just recreational) cycle paths, leading between places? eg Winchester to Alresford? What about a drive to encourage open water swimming, perhaps near River Park? or re-open the lido, as has happened elsewhere in the country? Please encourage reduction in use of unnecessary materials: eg packaging, single-use coffee
Yes	Renewable sources of energy should have a target strategy set at a minimum of 15%. Without this it will be impossible to reach the emissions target.

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	See previous comments about the City Transport Study. Also an improved environment means better access for pedestrians and cyclists and improved streetscape.
Yes	See the preceding comment on air quality.
Yes	Silly question....who would want to mke the quality of the environment worse??
Yes	Smart tech from providers like Arqiva in Crawley offer significant opportunities to assist environmental monitoring especially in early flood warning.
Yes	Some detail would give it more credibility.
Yes	Somehow, means urgently need finding to reduce traffic in the inner city with the consequent and very noticeable toxic exhaust fumes.
Yes	Re-cycling needs extending to other commodities beyond the present. Other local authorities achieve door-step collection of glass, kitchen waste and more segregation of other recyclable materials, and it would be good is Winchester could improve its collection service.
Yes	Sort out Silver Hill - don't allow a development that makes us look like a suburb of Basingstoke and dwarfs the Cathedral. Don't let developers to ignore archeology e.g. Roman Wall on St Cross Road Ensure all developments are mixed - no ghettos and no retail district that is deserted at night.
Yes	Sounds great, go for it.
Yes	Stop building on green field sites. Barton farm, for instance will hugely increase the amount of traffic using Andover Road and getting into Winchester from the North will be a nightmare. Having to drive through the estate is madness. Often the A34 traffic comes through Winchester so there will be huge lorries going through residential areas. I do not understand the logic of this.
Yes	stop heavy traffic going through the city when major roads are disrupted - widen the Harestock Road and divert traffic thus avoiding the City centre
Yes	Strategy should include targets too, a 40% cut by 2020. There should also be a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020. Encourage use of low or zero emission vehicles within the City Centre.
Yes	Support Hampshire's Waste Recycling Centers - to faui to do so will only generate more fly-tipping. Put more resources in dealing with Fly tippers especially in the Southwick & Boarhunt parishes,
Yes	The "carrot and the stick approach" Let anyone with a vehicle using electric power park for free and insist that all public transport that entered the "City" walls by 2020 did so under electric power. This would not exclude "Hybrid" vehicles operating on joint or sole internal combustion power
Yes	The above is very general. I like the goal of reducing emissions - more creative transport solutions are required, bus companies working together to provide cross ticketing to thereby reduce costs if having to change bus services, extend the hours of park and ride buses into the evening - pedestrianise more of Winchester - make a stand ban cars on alternate days - see how clean the
Yes	The built heritage is what draws so many people to live & work here. All new developments must be architecturally designed to embrace this theme and promote it. Think of Oxford & Cambridge.  New residential developments must be built like a village providing a post office, pub, shop & community centre/space + fitness area. A variety of housing choice in traditional but low energy &

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	<p>The commitment to protecting the District's environment is to be welcomed but will not be achieved without further serious commitment to addressing the causes and effects of climate change and air pollution. The emissions target is a starting point but there should be a further target of 15% of the district's energy to come from renewable sources by 2020. Without a greater percentage of renewable sources in the energy mix, the Council will not hit its target.</p> <p>In addition to cutting greenhouse gas emissions from vehicles, the Council should also state clearly that it will tackle emissions generated by residential and business properties in the district.</p> <p>In 'enhancing open spaces', the Council should make a commitment to working with the grain of nature, creating wildlife corridors and reconnecting fragmented habitats found in the wider</p>
Yes	<p>The commitment to reducing emissions is excellent, but you should have targets for this. What about putting a target for a percentage of energy used to be generated locally from renewable sources? You should have a target for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases from buildings, particularly buildings controlled by the council. Reducing the number of motor vehicles using the centre of Winchester should be a priority as this is the only way to improve air quality significantly</p>
Yes	<p>The contract with the Landscape Group has received widespread criticism. The standard of maintenance has been so poor and variable it has required additional contract monitoring officers to keep an eye on the standard of work.</p> <p>One of the most important roles of the City Council is to ensure the place is well kept and welcoming. This has not happened with this contract and reflects poorly on the council. The work of Biffa by contrast has been very good. Their staff are reliable and helpful.</p> <p>You need to revisit recycling -in particular work with the parishes to help deliver a more</p>
Yes	<p>The Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020 but the strategy must lay out clear actions to achieve this.</p> <p>The Council should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.</p>



Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	<p>The first aim. Rewrite as follows: 'Protect and Enhance the District's rich heritage whilst enabling necessary development which respects the historic natural and built environment of Winchester Town and District.' This will give greater emphasis to the value of heritage.</p> <p>Third aim: 'Enhance .....Open spaces.' These are important and much valued by people. In Winchester the important Cathedral Outer Close has deteriorated in recent years both the grass and trees and also the hard surfaces because of the undesirable increase in cars parking in the Close. Their visual intrusion and damage to the surface means they should be removed as soon as possible.</p> <p>4th Aim Recycling levels These have decline in recent years. What is perplexing is the very high recycling rates achieved by the University of Winchester which includes all types of plastics compared with the limited range provided by the domestic scheme operated in Winchester.</p> <p>5th Aim Emissions from vehicles are increasingly recognised as causing harm to peoples health so this action is to be welcomed.</p> <p>In addition there is harm to the attractions of the centre of Winchester and the pedestrian experience by the number of vehicles entering the centre to park. Given the compact centre of the town, Winchester would be conveniently accessible by car if parking is encouraged in the inner car parks and reduced in the centre, with a short walk needed from the car parks to get to the centre. Reducing vehicle numbers would improve atmosphere and ambience of the centre which would encourage more people to visit.</p>
Yes	<p>The heritage protection and enhancement should be protected by extending the pedestrian only areas in the town centre, making it more people (rather than car) focused. This will also help with the central traffic emissions.</p> <p>Traffic emissions are a big problem in the area but there also needs to be a commitment to reduce green house gas emissions from other sources - A target percentage needs to be included of a</p>
Yes	<p>The main reason that pollution levels in the City are at such a high level is because of the daft traffic system which obliges all traffic to route through the City centre whether it wants to or not. If North Walls were to be made a two-way road for through traffic a large proportion of traffic would be removed from the City centre. However, the Green lobby can only suggest a simplistic solution</p>
Yes	<p>The priority must be clean air in the city and improving the recycling systems</p>
Yes	<p>The target for emissions reductions needs to be specific.</p> <p>We should also look at increasing the use of renewable energy.</p> <p>Since I use my bike for transport, I'm concerned about car fumes in the city centre</p>
Yes	<p>There is a need to obtain more energy from renewable sources (otherwise emission targets will not be met), and to cut greenhouse gas emissions from sources other than traffic e.g. buildings,</p>
Yes	<p>There is plenty to be done - and the management of the vast swath of land in and around Silver Hill does not fill this tax payer with hope!</p>
Yes	<p>There should be an express reference to the need to encourage cycling and walking and also to</p>
Yes	<p>This all sounds great, but is very focused on the built environment, nothing about wildlife, green spaces or even anything remotely related to moving Winchester to being a Smart City whilst retaining its historic heritage. Again, the actions seem a little lacking.</p>
Yes	<p>This area is the fundamental aspect that underpins all others.</p>

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	<p>This is a conditional "yes"; the devil is in the detail; what does the first point really mean? Is this a back-handed way of saying development takes priority over our heritage? This certainly appeared to be the case with Silver Hill: the willingness to abandon the archaeological potential of the area, the willingness to "evolve" in a wholly unsympathetic, overscale style? The built "environment" of Station approach and cattle market schemes were rejected because they did not respect the style or scale aspects of our city. This first point needs to be better qualified if it is not to be open to misinterpretation.</p> <p>Where is the real effort to address the air quality issue? We need to balance the needs of easy</p>
Yes	<p>This is good but not good enough! I welcome the aim to cut emissions, but please include the target of 40% cut by 2020 (or even higher).</p> <p>Hitting this emissions target will only be possible by switching to renewables so please include the target of increasing renewables to 15% by 2020.</p>
Yes	This is vital
Yes	This is vital and I strongly encourage implementation of this approach.
Yes	<p>This must not be an empty set of hypothetical aspirations - they must become a reality.</p> <p>Waste: residential waste recycling seems to be far less comprehensive in Winchester than other localities where more types of waste are recyclable. This presumably depends on the facilities available to the recycling contractor, but it is not satisfactory to lag behind other boroughs in this regard.</p> <p>Harmful emissions: our towns and villages are not designed to carry the volume and scale of traffic that is current today. This will get worse. For example, Wickham has two main roads that are constantly congested and used by HGVs. With the advent of more housing in the village and the rise of Welbourn a by pass would ease traffic flows and reduce emissions in the residential area, as well as improve safety. In the absence of such developments the goal will be an empty promise.</p> <p>Flooding: there are 200 new homes planned for Wickham. The village already has a flooding problem in times of heavy rainfall: this results in raw sewage lying in flood water that surrounds residential properties. Yet the prospect exists that the new developments will be allowed (following the Inspector's report) and Southern Water, although aware of the problem, will not be required to correct the issue before the development commences. If such a decision is reached and the developments take place before correction of the worn out and inadequate infrastructure the</p>
Yes	To ensure that old buildings are retained and developed and any new development is in keeping with the surrounding area.
Yes	<p>To protect the districts heritage will again require strict control of the people and numbers in our region.</p> <p>Flood resilience is a critical issue to home owners. It is essential to work with strategic partners but there has not been sufficient attention paid. The housing development companies given planning permission to develop these housing estates and enjoy enormous profits should be made to report / prevent potential flood risk and made to insure that any future work required will be paid for by them and not by our local council.</p>
Yes	<p>Too little focus on this strategy</p> <p>It should be the overriding strategy that would help all the others.</p> <p>A green and sustainable strategy helps flourish tourism, happiness, business and council</p>

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	<p>Traffic is the primary source of harmful emissions in the District, so it would be good to see the number of car journeys and public transport usage included as metrics to measure whether the environment targets are being met. Reducing emissions may conflict with other demands (e.g. parking) so robust measurement criteria are needed to ensure enough is done.</p> <p>In other respects I think the council has an excellent record - for example on flood resilience</p>
Yes	<p>Very glad that you plan to cut emissions: would like to see the target of 40% cut by 2020 included too. And a target of 15% of renewable sources of energy to make it possible to hit your emission target.</p> <p>Would also like to see a clear statement that greenhouse gas emissions from waste, buildings etc will be cut, as well as from traffic.</p> <p>Really hoping to see a vision that extends beyond short-term thinking / gain in our local and</p>
Yes	Very much support the objective of emissions reduction.
Yes	Very welcome reference to open space at last!
Yes	<p>WCC needs to encourage residents to walk or cycle within the city centre much more. Making Middle Brook a disabled car park with a large store for bicycles would help to push commuters towards Tower Street, The Brooks and the two Park and Rides.</p> <p>WCC needs to set itself a much tougher target of reducing emissions by 40% within the next 3 years. It also needs to set targets for the amount of energy used from renewable sources. 15%</p>
Yes	<p>WCC needs to set and achieve ambitious carbon reduction targets in line with the latest science - this means a 10-15% reduction in the District's carbon emissions year-on-year for the foreseeable future.</p> <p>In particular, attention should be paid to monitoring the quality of new-build properties as in my experience these often perform far below their design specifications for thermal insulation,</p> <p>WCC would seem to have very good intentions.</p>
Yes	<p>However goals must be set so WCC has something to aim for.</p> <p>There should be a 40% cut by 2020 of harmful emissions.</p> <p>As a step forward bus journies should be cheaper. I live above Southampton and I find the Bluestar Unibus much cheaper than the Winchester buses.</p>
Yes	We are back to the holistic transport measures again. I would say they are moving in the wrong direction with fewer buses and less parking. Few would suggest that the quality of life in
Yes	<p>We are pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020 but the strategy must lay out clear actions to achieve this.</p> <p>They should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.</p> <p>Unblock the planning process for wind and solar installations</p>
Yes	<p>We are pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020 but the strategy must lay out clear actions to achieve this.</p> <p>They should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.</p>
Yes	<p>We are pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions. The Strategy should include the actual target too, a 40% cut by 2020.</p> <p>They should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.</p>
Yes	We must work to keep the environment clean and safe for all!

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	We need weekly glass recycling collection in Winchester. Not just to rely on residents going to recycling centres when they can.
Yes	We said "Yes" we agree with the outcome and how you aim to achieve this, but you do not go far enough. We welcome the commitment to cutting emissions; this should be stated in the Strategy and not just in the Cabinet report.  As in our comments to (5) above, we want to see the Strategy include the renewable energy target which has been referred to in Cabinet report (CAB2682 15 April 2015) ) that - for the Winchester District to catch up in due course with official targets - at least 15% of the energy used in the District should come from renewable sources by the end of 2020.
Yes	What proposals do you have to reduce litter, as currently there is no enforcement of littering laws and litter is an unsightly problem. I strongly support proposals to reduce waste and recycle more; we are way behind much of the U.K. Eg in food waste and more extensive recycling.
Yes	Whilst I understand the push to reduce traffic in central Winchester, to reduce emissions, I feel that at present there is insufficient alternative options. Living in Littleton, there is only an infrequent bus service, and no park and ride on this side of Winchester. I have heard from friends and neighbours who have attempted to use the new Park and Ride at Winchester village an been unable to find space there. It also seems to make no sense to drive all the way out to Winchester Village from Littleton, to go into the town or the hospital. If one truly wants to reduce traffic int he
Yes	Winchester is a lovely place to live and on the whole is a safe place to be - hopefully this will continue for many years to come. I live close to Winnall Moors and River Park so we do have green spaces in close proximity but enhanced and increased green spaces will be good for the city. Reducing harmful traffic emissions is a must as a city centre resident and driver, I see how much traffic comes into the city everyday and reducing the impact of this is important. I have been impressed with the flood defence measures already in place on Water Lane and in the River Park
Yes	Would be great to see cuts in greenhouse gas emissions from buildings, vehicles etc. Also a focus on energy from renewable sources to help reduce emissions.  Investment and improvement in park and ride would be helpful - I appreciate what has been done so far but the buses are often full and delayed at peak times. Would be good to see a separate bus lane and more capacity in both the car parks and the buses themselves.
Yes	Yes - our historic environment must evolve, but some developments - e.g. Chesil Lodge, are not 'evolutionary', they are brutal attacks on our environment. We need to hire some competent planners, and they need to pay more attention to public comment.  A specific mention of the need to combat climate change should be included.
Yes	Yes get your act together and get Silver Hill sorted. This area is an eyesore and Winchester really
Yes	Yes, agree with the outcome totally. However, as mentioned previously, it is impractical for local residents to use the Park & Ride when visiting town for small errands, yet the removal of on-street parking is making this increasingly more difficult.  Winchester's buses are also diesel-powered, when in this day and age they could be electric or LPG. I believe the use of diesel buses is particularly unpleasant given the fact that they are in

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
Yes	<p>Yes, but they don't go far enough.</p> <p>It would be good to state specific carbon reduction targets and then provide the action plan on the journey to achieve those reductions. For example, this could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- all new housing will be carbon neutral. While this would not reduce carbon emissions, it will prevent them rising.</li> <li>- a% of existing housing and business premises will have insulation installed (roof and wall) - cutting carbon emissions by b%</li> <li>- c% of energy in the district will come from renewable source - cutting carbon emissions by d%</li> <li>- electric vehicles will be e% of the traffic in Winchester District (encouraged through incentives such as many more recharging points, discounted parking, etc) - cutting carbon by f%</li> <li>- increase number and size of green spaces, trees etc. by g% - cutting carbon emissions by h%</li> <li>- provide local facilities for people in communities (for example as those provided at Weeke shops) where people are able to walk and cycle to them, reducing traffic miles by i% - cutting carbon by j%</li> </ul>
Yes	<p>Yes, if you really mean it and carry out and implement policies to ameliorate the current very poor situations.</p> <p>More education is needed to improve attitudes to waste and recycling rates. You need to come down more heavily on supermarkets' over-use of packaging. I take hard and soft plastic materials (though they will only take white or colourless, not black) to Sainsbury's, where there are bins for these; I don't understand why WCC can't take more materials, and why recyclable materials are all mixed up together in one bin; I visited the recycling facility near Alton, admittedly some years ago,</p>
Yes	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Combat pollution, toxins in the air. Make the City a pleasurable place to be.</p> <p>Plant trees, remove on street parking (Labrook Grove did this in the 1990's)</p> <p>Focus on ecology, clean water etc.</p>
Yes	<p>Yes.. But..... There is a need to reduce emissions by 40% compared to those in 2004. There is a need for a target. Emissions without big safeguards are only going to get worse with the rising population - especially if you put into practice some of your grander plans.</p>
Yes	<p>you must commit to meeting a 40% cut in emissions by 2020 and also commit to 15% renewables by then as part of the solution</p>
Yes	<p>You must include targets in this section or it will never happen - be aspirational and make Winchester a wonderful and green place.</p>
Yes	<p>You need to actually DO some of these things rather just coming up with ideas. For the past 12 years that I have lived in Winchester the traffic has got worse and worse, but the council is more worried about upsetting BID than taking any measures to reduce it.</p> <p>Also how about having a target to increase use of public transport and a target to increase the amount of renewable energy generated by the council - solar panels on council properties etc.</p>
Yes	<p>You need to continue to develop better ways of reducing traffic, especially diesel vehicles out of the town centre.</p> <p>Buses need priority routes.</p>
Yes	<p>You need to lay out clear actions of how to reduce emissions by 40%.</p> <p>A 15% target of renewable energy is a minimum.</p> <p>get on with it , it is easy with solar, wind and biofuel</p> <p>MY GRANDCHILDREN are growing up in Winchester.</p> <p>PLEASE.</p>

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Yes	<p>You urgently need to get on top of the traffic issue. Making the City one-way around North Walls increases the pollution and consideration should be give to making Sussex Street, 2 way, so decreasing the volume coming down Romsey Road and up Upper High Street. It is no good thinking the traffic will lessen of its own accord, because it won't . Park and Ride should be very much enforced, like in Oxford.</p> <p>How would you enhance and increase open spaces .. where would that be?</p>
Yes	<p>You welcome the aim to cut emissions; the target of 40% cut by 2020 should be included too. They should add the target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, they'll never hit their emission target. Traffic is a big source of emissions, but not the only one. They should state clearly that they will also aim to cut greenhouse gas emissions from waste, buildings, etc.</p>
Yes	Your plan for achieving this is full of great mission statements but very weak on actual practical plans of what you are going to do. This is a recurring theme in this consultation.
Yes	your projection is on population growth is wrong
Yes	You've made and excellent start by reducing your carbon footprint but what about renewables? Allowing Sparsholt College to have their wind turbine, allowing the anaerobic digester in Micheldever. But renewables, renewables, renewables. Grerat start, but could be better.
Not Answered	<p>Air quality in Winchester City Centre is very poor. A strategy to reduce the number and duration of vehicle journeys (particularly from diesel) across the city is important.</p> <p>The flow of traffic across the city needs to be improved, stationary traffic creates the most pollution and too many traffic lights interrupting the flow of traffic actually makes increases pollution levels.</p> <p>WCC should also consider making Romsey Road one way between St James' Lane and High Street such that traffic can only travel downhill.</p> <p>The one way system from Southgate Street to High Street should also be reversed so that traffic leaving tower street car park travels downhill along High Street and can exit the city via Southgate Street/St Cross Road or carry on along Jewry Street to North Walls</p>
Answ	I read elsewhere that the Council aims to tackle emissions which are likely to exacerbate climate change and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020. This is good. This will, I hope, include emissions caused by fuel and power consumption outside the Council's geographical area of responsibility though caused by actions within it. As a step in this direction, I hope the Council's Plan will aim to install enough non-polluting power generating capacity within this geographical area to provide at least 15% of total local consumption, and preferably more. This should be practical. For instance, there are already two large solar farms on the southern outskirts of Andover. We could do with more. At the moment, solar panels are cheap. There are also a few wind turbines near the Stockbridge Road outside Winchester. It would be good to install more of them. Recently, there was a report that wind power in Scotland generated that country's total
Answ	<p>If Improving the quality of the district's environment is the "Environment outcome", I imagine most people would agree with it (why wouldn't you?). There is a setting the scene introduction and a list of laudable aims but little or no detail of how they will be funded, delivered or measured.</p> <p>I don't understand what "whilst allowing development to take place which enables our historic</p>
Answ	I'm glad that the Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020 but the strategy must lay out clear actions to achieve this. They should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Answ	It is essential that the council acts on harmful emissions by engaging with residents and visitors to build support and take action urgently. Key to this are joined up public transport from door to door, safe routes for cycling and attractive walking routes.
Answ	It is great that you have a commitment to reducing emissions. Climate change is the biggest threat to our lovely environment so you have a duty to make and keep a target on emissions; 40% by 2020. You need a renewable energy target too, 15% of energy used from renewable sources by 2020, otherwise we will be way off meeting our emissions target and this must be adhered to, as our part in global climate change reduction. You have a real duty to do this otherwise you are failing your electorate. Greenhouse gas emissions should be cut from waste and buildings in addition to reducing that from traffic across the district. To add to improving recycling levels, why not work with Hampshire CC and have facility to recycle more plastics? Currently Sainsburys will take certain plastics that you will not. Kerbside glass collections would be really helpful for people like me who don;t have a car too. I see no reason why we cannot recycle tetra paks too, it is nuts that these go to landfill round here. If people can properly dispose of food waste, there should then be nothing that goes into landfill, everything
Answ	Obviously the inevitable new housing will eat up land, but I should like the Winchester County Council to be aware of one of its greatest assets, the unparalleled beautiful countryside surrounding the city and towns like Alresford. Preserving the countryside, its walks etc, is essential for the health of all citizens, and the last thing we want is intrusive, botched developments straggling all over the place.  May I say how much I appreciate the efficient bus service you provide! It is wonderful to get a bus
Answ	Pollution is one of the biggest problems facing us and must be given priority. WCC must tackle emissions and commit to a 40% reduction in emissions by 2020. In addition WCC should also commit to 15% energy from renewables 2020 and focus on finding alternative sources.  Much greater effort must be made to finding creative ways to reduce emissions and work with other local authorities to pool knowledge and exchange ideas. While traffic pollution tends to grab the headlines, it's important find ways of reducing greenhouse gas emissions from waste and
Answ	See note in regard to previous section. Good green infrastructure and clean air are essential for mental ad physical health. Please continue to work on the reduction of carbon and cleaner air for all. This will also help you to meet climate change targets. Winchester has the potential to LEAD
Answ	The Strategy should include the actual target of a 40% cut by 2020.  A renewable energy target should be set of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from
Answ	These aims are rather vague and waffly! You need to set some achievable targets...  1. We are pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions. The Strategy should include the actual target too, a 40% cut by 2020.  2. A renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.
Answ	What is the target for emissions? Investment in more renewable energy produced in the district by 2020 would enable the achievement of this target. Methods of reducing greenhouse gases produced by traffic, buildings and waste disposal should

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
Answ	Winchester and District is on a trajectory to fail in its target to cut greenhouse gas emissions and is currently failing to make a full and fair response to cut emissions in line with the global target of <2 degree C with an aspiration to remain < 1.5 degree C.  Continuation of inaction at local and global scales would very likely to have extremely serious consequences for flooding, extreme weather events, forced immigration, vector born diseases and a strongly negative impact on food supply, the global and local economy and biodiversity.
No	"Find creative ways to reduce harmful emissions, based on sound evidence and holistic transport planning" is too generic.
No	"Improving the quality of the environment" is no longer adequate in relation to the critical nature of the problem, unless accompanied by robust targets which is not the case here. "Aligning environmental management with principles of sustainable development" or something similar might be more relevant.  The absence of a specific target for recycling in the strategy measures document is a real shortcoming, given the ready availability of the indicator and the responsibility to national targets.  Aligning the 40% CO2 target with an aim "based on sound evidence and holistic transport planning" seems out of place. Achieving this target requires significant Council support in housing efficiency and renewable energy goals, amongst other things. There are two types of "harmful emissions" in the context of this plan (CO2 and particulates) and two separate aims are needed. An environmental Outcome with no objective specific to climate change is surely an oversight (especially as the deadline is 2020 - and flood resilience is included!).
No	... as more than attitudes need to change with respect to waste. Policies must change as well.  ... as you make no reference to climate change mitigation and adaptation  ... as in a wider sense your entire strategy across all topics lacks the ambition necessary given the responsibilities you have for the region's future.
No	A key commitment for the City must be to deliver at least 15% of its energy needs from local renewable resources. This is no more than the national legally binding targets we (the nation) have set ourselves. Winchester must do its bit to meet this target.  A commitment to have 20% of all the district's energy needs met from local renewable resources by 2020 must be enshrined in the strategy.
No	Again, this is fine so far as it goes, but it omits the central aspect of a 'clean and safe' environment. It is essential that the Council formally commits to taking action to reduce carbon emissions and promote energy efficiency as a leading priority. It should also commit to specific
No	Air pollution action and renewable energy investment is needed urgently.
No	Although in the summary text it is stated that a Winchester will remain a clean environment there is no objective to support this. A specific objective should be added that essentially aims to make Winchester litter free, by changing attitudes and more effective cleansing.  "Protect and enhance the District's rich heritage whilst allowing development to take place which enables our historic environment to evolve over time." This should be amended to read "to evolve sensitively over time" to reflect the abject failure of the Silver Hill project and recent Station Approach.  "Work to change attitudes to waste, and significantly improve recycling levels."
No	Am happy that the council is making an effort in this area. Am curious to know about plans for installing more renewable energy and reducing overall greenhouse gas output.



	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
No	As the continued development eats up our green spaces and adds 1000s of cars to the roads, I don't see how you can achieve these projected outcomes.
No	Given recent findings of from authoritative reports, concerning the true level of air pollution from Diesel cars, it is important that Winchester has a meaning full approach to the problem effecting the city. In order to safeguard public health, the existing policies, relation to air pollution, should be further enhanced.
No	How are you going to achieve  'Find creative ways to reduce harmful emissions, based on sound evidence and holistic transport planning'?
No	How are you going to make it safer, I have been abused by a local drug user. They all converge near Sainsburys, it's more unsafe then it had been in my experience, especially when I leave work at HCC. I live locally, how are you going to increase or enhance public realm? The Police headquarters, the police station, Barton Farm, Winchester village - the current infrastructure cannot cope with the current traffic levels at present. The one way system is horrific at peak time and it will get worse, yet you will encourage modal shift, hardly worth it, no designated bus lane or cycle lane - even though you have strategy documents in place, just doucknents for funding element! Park and ride service currently is not working. HCC staff already complaining that buses are going past as they are full and cannot take more passengers. I look forward to my comments
No	How can you say youre improving recycling and change attitudes on waste? youre charging for waste disposal and cutting funding for open spaces
No	I am pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020 but the strategy must lay out clear actions to achieve this. They should also set a minimum renewable energy target of 20% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020.  The Renewables Revolution is taking place across the globe right now. Winchester City Council, should keep pace with the change to clean power and take a pro-active role toward rapid implementation of clean, safe, sustainable energy generation and reduction in energy use.
No	I think given the current climate change targets set in UK legislation there needs to be a more proactive approach to low carbon living including public buildings all having alternative energy sources. The current leisure centre does not have solar power, also does the hospitals, Drs surgery etc? The environmental plan should be holistic - but holistic does not mean lacking in core substance. This is a very fluffy approach - and defiantly the weakest area of the survey. Is
No	I welcome the aim to cut emissions, however the target of 40% cut by 2020 should be included in the plan.  We should try to meet a target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020. Without alternative renewable sources of energy, the emission target will be difficult to meet. For example, encourage developers to install solar panels on new built houses.  Traffic is a huge problem in Winchester and needs to be discussed more seriously. For example, why is driving into town and parking the car in the city centre still cheaper than taking a local bus?? This is unexceptable. Introduce a bus pass for children and teenager (no more than £8 per month) to encourage children and teenagers to use public transportation.

	Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)
No	I welcome the aim to cut emissions. However, the target of a 40% cut in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 should be included too. In addition, a renewable energy target (e.g. of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020) is a logically corollary of reducing emissions, as without alternative renewable sources of energy the council will be unable to meet its emissions reductions targets.  There are many sources of emissions, traffic being a relatively minor overall contributor. Your
No	If you want t improve the environemnt STOP building on it. The mind blowing claim that the council cares about the environmment beggars belief. I have lived in and around Winchester for over 35 years and the rush to build that is currently going on can only have the worst possible outcome on the environment. You don't give a damn, so stop pretending that you do.
No	Infrastructure changes will be needed to cater for the population increase. It is okay to add 2000 homes in the case of Barton Farm but what about the increase in cars stopped in the one way system, parked on the A34 waiting to join the M3 or driving around looking for parking at the station.
No	Insufficient focus on clean air. Winchester City centre is a pollution hot spot. This ought to be one
No	Introduce targets for renewable energy use across the district to support the Paris agreement. Suggest 15% non-transport, or 20% including transport, renewable energy use by 2020
No	It does not feel as though you are taking the issue of emissions seriously enough. It is good that you aim to cut emissions but you should include a specific target of 40% cut by 2020. This will only be achieved by greater use of renewable sources of energy and again a target should be included; 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020. This is an essential component of achieving any substantive cut in emissions.
No	It is essential that St Giles Hill remains an open space.
No	Item one - "Enhancing the heritage" and "evolving the historic environment" needs explanation and could be considered as vandalism by some.  Item two - Presumably this means removing cars from the city centre.  Item five - Again, needs explanation but presumably this means car pollution
No	It's important to note that the natural environment is a priority, because of pollution and climate change. This is more important than 'heritage,' as climate change imperils our planet and our survival as a species.  I'm please about your commitment to cutting emissions. This target should under pin the entire strategy, for reasons stated above. This Strategy should include a target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020. We can't hit the emissions target without alternative renewable sources of energy. Traffic is a big cause of emissions, but not the only one. The the Strategy should state clearly that they will also aim to cut greenhouse gas emissions from waste, buildings, etc. We need to do more than just change attitudes to waste. On moving from Bristol recently I have been deeply shocked to discover how little recycling Winchester council does. We could be recycling all food waste, (sterilised and used as compost), more plastics and tetra packs. I find it unbearable to throw away so much plastic every week. Many residents (especially younger people) already know about the impact of waste and the lack of recycling of such an affluent city such as Winchester. Having more options for recycling in place first will also change behaviours.

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
No	<p>It's much too vague.</p> <p>Climate change is the most important issue and more actual and challenging targets have to be set and met.</p> <p>40% cut in emissions by 2020 should be included.</p> <p>We also a clear statement that greenhouse gases from waste, buildings etc will also be cut as well</p>
No	<p>Let's be more specific here and add in some clear targets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the target of 40% cut emissions by 2020 should be included for example, as should the target of 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, you won't your emission target.</li> </ul> <p>Traffic is a big source of emissions, but not the only one. What about adding in a target related to</p>
No	<p>Need to report on the extent to which any emissions reductions targets have or have not been met in the last decade; and the reasons for any shortfalls. Any new targets set for emissions reductions need to be more ambitious and accompanied by a clear plan for how the reductions are to be achieved. Important to set ambitious targets for 2020 and 2025 for renewable energy</p>
No	<p>No mention of climate change, increasing renewables in the district or improving the environemntal impact of waste services?</p> <p>If you want to improve air quality in the city, close city centre car parks and improve the P&amp;R service and create new sites to the north of the city.</p>
No	<p>Not specific enough. Need clear targets and timescales.</p>
No	<p>reduce harmful emissions?? WHY DID YOU GUYS Turn down the wind farm?? 2 yrs back?</p>
No	<p>See earlier comments. The way in which the council intend to provide this is so vague and shows the usual total lack of proper detailed planning and joined up thinking.</p>
No	<p>See previous comments. Public transport. Good. However, given a choice of using a car which they already own and coming in in their own transport with their things in the boot or waiting at a cold, wet or windy bus stop, taking 3 times as long and sitting next to people they don't know (An English thing) carrying their shopping, bags and baggage it is no brainer. Most people want to</p>
No	<p>The bottom line is that the district must reduce its carbon emissions to contribute to the government's national target to reduce the greenhouse gas emissions that are causing the climate to warm on a global scale. At the same time people everywhere are experiencing severe weather in the form of floods (Winchester is no exception!), droughts and storms as well as rising sea-level. The strategy cannot avoid mention of this major process that is affecting, and will continue to affect people everywhere, including Winchester, for the foreseeable future. This is beyond being an environmental problem, it affects everything.</p> <p>I agree about preventing waste. But please be more specific about emissions (see my response to Section 5). What exactly do you mean?</p> <p>Flood resilience, while valuable, is an adaptation strategy. The primary effort should be to mitigate</p>

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
No	<p>The commitments are woolly and not robust enough to hold anyone to count. It should include: A real commitment to cut pollution (NOx and PMs) to below legal limits asap. A real commitment to cut CO2 on a meaningful timescale in line with UK targets.</p> <p>"Protect and enhance the District's rich heritage whilst allowing development to take place which enables our historic environment to evolve over time." - Winchester has some wonderful buildings but we need to be sensible and allow certain improvements that will make them more efficient and comfortable places to live. Allowing certain double glazing and insulation.</p> <p>"By working with our partners and by using powers available to us, make Winchester a safer and more pleasant place to live, work and visit" - If it comes down to money this promise means nothing.</p> <p>"Enhance and increase the use of open spaces" - Has numerous benefits</p> <p>"Work to change attitudes to waste, and significantly improve recycling levels" - Can be easily done by increasing what can be recycled. Food waste collection, more glass recycling bins around town and more types of plastic, tin foil in green bin which I know is subject to changes to MRF. New developments should have many more general recycling bins (glass) at ends of roads like the continent. The policy needs to be changed to not only significantly improve recycling levels but to increase the number of materials recycled.</p> <p>"Find creative ways to reduce harmful emissions, based on sound evidence and holistic transport planning" - The evidence of the problems caused by harmful emissions is already out there. It affects Climate Change and our health. I'm nor sure creative is the right word here. Perhaps radical is because to make a step change improvement will require rethinking traffic routes, public</p>
No	<p>The first sentence is meaningless. Second sentence should read: to improve THE quality ... What are the Govt targets? Are we achieving them? If not why not? This whole statement is too wishy/washy.</p>
No	<p>The outcome as stated is laudable but short on detail and on specific targets. The strategy should lay out clear and realistic actions to achieve the desired reduction in emissions. It should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020. Thirdly, the change in attitudes to waste and recycling needs to start from the services offered to residents: it makes no sense that the kerbside recycling will collect plastic bottles but not other items made of the same plastics and marked as such. Winchester's recycling</p>
No	<p>The plan to cut emissions is too woolly - it requires a target to be a SMART goal. A 40% reduction by 2020 is a realistic and praiseworthy goal.</p> <p>Additionally, renewable energy is missing from this outcome - a suggested achievable target is 15% of all Council energy usage is from renewable sources by 2020. To note, it seems that 15% of all energy usage in the UK over Christmas was from renewable sources...</p>
No	<p>The policies are not adequately articulated or demonstrated. The Environment is very important but this is not adequately recognised by the council.</p>

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
No	<p>There is lack of focus on environmental issues within this strategy document.</p> <p>We like the council to focus more on the environment. Winchester suffers from unacceptable levels of air pollution and congestion that have a negative effect on people's health and business. More people avoid the city centre because of this especially during peak times before Christmas.</p> <p>Winchester needs to focus more on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- reducing car traffic by better public transport provision and increased parking charges</li> <li>- expand park and right</li> <li>- promote cycling and walking</li> <li>- more pedestrianised streets</li> <li>- encourage green technologies and businesses.</li> </ul> <p>This will reduce pollution, reduce the carbon footprint and make it more attractive to tourists and for shoppers.</p>
No	<p>There is no measurable "outcome" here. We need to see a real commitment on air quality and the impact on population health and a recommitment to reduce carbon emissions by 40% by 2020. We need to say something much stronger about waste and recycling - in particular food waste and the opportunities this offers - from collection to green energy.</p> <p>The Council must build a commitment to 15% renewables into all its strategies, and must explain</p>
No	<p>They are far too woolly, with no hard targets. You cannot be held to account by residents, voters and your own staff on the basis of these outcomes. I suspect most voters will want you to be far more ambitious, because good environmental outcomes such as reduced waste also require greater efficiency or lower consumption so are also money saving options OR deliver indirect</p>
No	<p>This is not an 'Outcome' You have not defined the 'Outcome' You should say something like "after 5 years we will have increased Open Spaces by 10% " OR After the period we will have reduced harmful emissions in Winchester Town by x% by building a relief road enabling vehicles to avoid the town centre/Banning cars from the Town Centre."</p> <p>How are you going to increase recycling rates? You must be prepared to state the base line now and then say what you are going to achieve. I think at the moment the overall rate is about 50% if you increase it to 55% will you say your Objective is met. ?</p> <p>These are vague terms and can be interpreted in any way the Council or its officers wish. You have said WHAT you want to achieve but not HOW. This is not credible in the current climate with Government funding being cut to zero in a few years time.</p>
No	<p>To improve the environment thing is to reduce carbon emissions. We need to encourage people to live closer to where they work. Stamp duty on the sale of peoples main home should be exempt. Tax on petrol should be increased. We should allow companies to build wind farms now</p>
No	<p>Too vague. You need specifics such as "we will dispose of x asset in order to fund y scheme". The point about "using powers available to us" is both vague and slightly threatening, it needs to</p>
No	<p>Vital have SMART targets and focus on climate change. Please consider at least 40% cut in emissions by 2020 At least 20% of energy from renewables by 2020 A 20% cut, at least in greenhouse gases by 2020</p> <p>Divestment from fossil fuels and investment in renewables.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of these matters</p>

Do you agree with the Environment outcome? - Do you have any other comment (293)	
No	<p>We are pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions. The Strategy should include the actual target too, a 40% cut by 2020.</p> <p>They should also set a renewable energy target of 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020 because without alternative renewable sources of energy, they'll never hit their emission target.</p> <p>Traffic is a big source of emissions, but not the only one. They should state clearly that they will</p>
No	<p>What is the outcome? What do you mean by clean and safe?</p> <p>No concrete measures are included.</p>
No	<p>Where is the commitment to reducing energy use and moving to renewable energy? It is formal council policy. Yet you ignore it. On your energy strategy it still has Bullington Cross as a major part of addressing energy. But you turned that down 2 years ago, and have not revisited the policy since. The commitment should be for 15% renewable energy by 2020, for district not just council premises. And there should be an update to the plan to achieve this. Currently it has got to less than 5% and there is little being planned. An enormous push is needed, and it needs to be led.</p> <p>Emissions need to be cut by 40% by 2020. Again this is a formidable challenge because little action has been taken. You have 3 more years. It needs commitment and priority. The deadline is not flexible.</p> <p>Note that local, renewable energy also keeps value in the district, earns millions in rates creates</p>
No	<p>Why isn't there anything about renewable energy sources?. How are you actually going to lower</p>
No	<p>you need to walk along Walpole Rd, the students house are a mess and they leave rubbish out all the time and bottle every where. it needs to be dealt with.</p>
No	<p>Your proposed strategy seems rather weakly stated. It is good that you aim to reduce emissions, but this should be given a much higher priority and the target to reduce emissions by 40% by 2020 should be stated. Also, in order to have any chance of achieving this, the strategy should have a target of obtaining at least 15% of the District's energy from renewable sources. I hope that councillors will show leadership in promoting these important policies and demonstrating a local</p>