

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	A vital part of improving health is reducing air pollution, and this should be emphasised in this "Community" section of the Strategy. with a commitment to strong and urgent actions to reduce pollution in Winchester to legal limits. The Strategy should include a target for the use of renewable energy in order to enable the reduction in emissions.
Yes	Air pollution is a serious threat to health - both short-term (respiratory disease, dementia, early death) and long term (greenhouse gas from traffic causing global warming) so the Strategy should include stronger and more urgent action to bring air pollution down to legal limits.
Yes	As you say in bullet point one. It is vitally important that active travel ie walking and cycling, or jumping on the bus are them main ways of accessing volunteering, sports and cultural activities as well as business, which came up earlier. This will mean a huge behavioral change that is at the heart of making Winchester district a better, less polluted and healthier place.
Yes	Excellent - I commend the council's commitment to the health and happiness of the population, but at the same time wonder how it will measure its success. I would be interested to see a report on the current health status and health behaviours of the residents and another one at the end of the period of the strategy to see how effective the actions had been.
Yes	1. I question whether the issue of traffic and movement would not more appropriately be a key enabler of making Winchester a premier business location - Theme 2. I do not argue for a moment that congestion problems and unreliable public transport can cause stress and ill health. However, as has already been stated in the Strategy, our future funding "will become more reliant on business rates paid in our district". And if business is to be attracted to Winchester it needs to know that the "great transport links" for goods, services and staff extend reliably into the City and town centres; if the perception is otherwise business will go elsewhere. So, get it right as a priority for business but within constraints that lead to a reduction in emissions and under this Theme
Yes	A degree of scepticism. If the Council actually believes that it will have "a holistic approach to travel and movement ...to improve health and reduce emissions" then fine, but this is too serious for yet more Council lip service. It needs a real commitment to reducing car use in the District and especially in Winchester; it needs a commitment to drastic reduction in both toxic and greenhouse gas emissions; it needs a drastic commitment to opening up the streets to safe and healthy modes
Yes	A great choice of words on the question of travel. Why not say that you wish to keep cars out of Winchester rather than reduce emissions. The best way to reduce emissions from cars is to provide parking. Parked cars are fine, cars driving around looking for parking are not healthy and increase driver stress. Certainly North of Winchester there is virtually no public transport beyond Kings Worthy so a car is
Yes	A key long term health challenge is climate change. The essential step to address this is to reduce carbon emissions. Improvements have been achieved, but more needs to be done. Not everyone appreciates what the present predictions mean for changes in the world in which we live. We need the Council to continue to address this as a high priority ensuring that all developments include carbon reduction, perhaps a carbon audit being included as part of a way of assessing any
Yes	Active transport modes (such as walking and cycling) should specifically be encouraged for both their health and environmental outcomes, and new infrastructure to support this shift towards active transport should be funded. This will meet the councils environmental and health objectives
Yes	Again there are contradictions between your business plan and your health plan eg low emmissions from traffic
Yes	Air pollution and climate change are a serious threat to health. So this should be a priority. Walking should also be encouraged so Winchester should become a more pedestrian-friendly

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	<p>Air pollution is a very serious threat to public health. Locally 51 people die prematurely just from particulates - never mind nitrogen dioxide. The Council is breaking the law on air quality and has been doing so since 2006. The actions in your Air Quality action plan must now be implemented. The Strategy should include stronger and more urgent action to bring air pollution down to legal limits. More walking and cycling will reduce obesity and make people healthier, too. Winchester is ideal for walking and cycling but with so much traffic encouraged into the city centre (with central parking that is too cheap and a dire one way system) many people are put off from travelling actively. This must be reversed. Congestion levels are rising and urgent action is required.</p> <p>Climate change is the biggest threat to health so the emissions target is important. A renewable target, should be added because without alternative renewable sources of energy, WCC will never hit its</p>
Yes	<p>Air pollution undermines health and is a cause of early deaths. Air quality must be brought down to legal levels as a matter of urgency.</p> <p>Carbon reduction is also a top priority, closely linked to the above. Fossil fuels are a serious risk to health, so incentivising and introducing renewable energy and alternatives to conventional cars - especially diesel cars and vehicles - are key objectives.</p>
Yes	<p>Air pollution is a major health risk in Winchester and increased activity in these conditions may cause some problems. Clean the air first.</p>
Yes	<p>Air quality levels need to be addressed in order to help with improving the health of the community - it is important that there is a suitable and effective action plan to reduce pollution and this needs to be put in place immediately.</p> <p>Before new leisure facilities are finished, the current old centre needs to be made to address concerns in the short term to bridge the gap between provision. For example simple and cheap</p>
Yes	<p>Although I have concerns about the improving the health of the community as that tends to mean judgement calls on individuals and a bit of a nanny state approach.</p>
Yes	<p>emissions. Please specify a target for emissions here.</p> <p>Please ensure that a holistic approach to travel for people to new or renewed leisure facilities is good for pedestrians and cyclists.</p> <p>Air pollution is of concern in this area, so please include a stronger and more urgent plan to bring pollution limits down below the legal level!</p> <p>Again, a plan to grow the renewable energy sector through community developments will be key to hitting targets.</p>
Yes	<p>Bullet Point 1 should be amplified to read "to improve health, reduce emissions and congestion.</p>
Yes	<p>City centre air quality is a key issue not mentioned here - what are your proposals in this? there is a win-win for health: discourage car use, encourage cycling and walking.</p>
Yes	<p>Climate change and air pollution are two of biggest threats to human health in the district and beyond. The Strategy must include a plan of action for tackling air pollution, to improve air quality, particularly in Winchester itself, to bring it within legal limits. The Strategy should include a renewable energy target, and a commitment (and financial resources) to improving walking and</p>
Yes	<p>Climate change is a big threat to health as is air pollution. Emissions need to be cut by 40 percent</p>

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	health both in the short- and long-term, so would like to see the Strategy include stronger, meaningful action to bring air pollution down to legal limits. Less driving, more walking / cycling would also reduce obesity and increase our health.
Yes	Climate change is a significant threat to health so the emissions target is important. Air pollution is also a serious threat to health - both short-term (respiratory disease, dementia, early death) and long term (greenhouse gas from traffic causing global warming) so the Strategy should include stronger and more urgent action to bring air pollution down to legal limits. More
Yes	Climate change is the biggest threat to health. Hence the emissions target is vital.
Yes	Climate change is the biggest threat to health so the emissions target is important. You should add a renewable target, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, you'll never hit the target. Air pollution is a serious threat to health both short-term (respiratory disease, dementia, early death) and long term (greenhouse gas from traffic causing global warming) so the Council Strategy should include stronger and more urgent action to bring air quality down to legal limits.
Yes	to London is overpriced and overcrowded and means people aren't home until late (which impacts leisure time and health) Don't sell off sports fields Support the discovery centre which is super Build cycle lanes segregated from main traffic to stop adults cycling on pavements
Yes	Environmental measures also impact upon health and happiness, the reduction of emissions and switch to renewables is also relevant to this topic.
Yes	change, although few people feel confident enough to acknowledge and address the issue. Setting a target of generating 15% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020 would help local people to feel more positive about addressing climate change issues.
Yes	There are no targets here. What is the reduction in pollution aimed for year by year? Why did your parking review not show an intention to remove vehicles from the most polluted areas? There is no mention of tackling climate change which is the biggest long term threat to health and happiness. This section will not work until congestion and pollution is tackled. Why walk more when it is so unpleasant to walk along major roads?
Yes	Given that Winchester has a higher proportion of ageing and retired residents than the national average, more emphasis should be given to helping them remain active and healthy, including the repair of pavements and footpaths in and around the city centre to avoid falls, accidents and for those using walking aids, to prevent them becoming 'house-bound' or requiring more social care. Integrating pollution-free, small scale bus links to/from rail, road and bus hubs around the city would also help those with mobility problems. More open green space with easy and safe routes in and around the city for pedestrians should not only help the elderly to stay fit and healthy, but also encourage families with small children and students, to be physically active. However most important for all is the reduction of traffic and air pollution in the heart of the city, a key factor in

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	<p>Green, healthy and natural open spaces are absolutely fundamental to human health and well being.</p> <p>It is essential that air pollution is tackled as it has a direct effect on people's health.</p> <p>Currently Winchester high street is disappointing. Market stalls in the high street block access and make shopping unpleasant. Many shops have gone for one reason or another. There is a lack of good, everyday shops. Congestion on the streets causes pollution and frustration. Romsey road is highly congested, I avoid the centre of Winchester after 11am. Walking, cycling and public</p>
Yes	<p>Health is also linked to air quality and this is not good in the city centre. More proactive management of the number and type of vehicles entering the city centre is a vital element in delivering good health quality to the community.</p>
Yes	<p>Health should be top priority as social care budgets are stretched and will be beyond broken in the coming years. Good luck with this one!</p>
Yes	<p>Health. You need to encourage walking, cycling and less use of the car. It is becoming obvious that air pollution, already a problem, is only going to get worse.</p>
Yes	<p>However :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide new leisure facilities in Winchester that meet the needs of a broad cross section of our communities <p>This should read not 'in Winchester' but 'throughout the District (taking neighboring districts' facilities in to account)'</p>
Yes	<p>I agree that people's health should be a major consideration. But as you must be well aware the air pollution caused by road vehicles, particularly diesel cars, is of great concern. I think that the Strategy should be more specific on this topic. What emissions are you trying to reduce? Are they carbon dioxide (from all road vehicles and CH boilers for example), with implications for a warming world, or nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter (from diesel engines), which causes serious lung and cardiovascular disease. Please be more specific.</p> <p>Why is there no mention of walking and cycling? The more people walk and cycle the better their</p>
Yes	<p>I agree with the outcome. However one thing that doesn't improve local residents' health and happiness is the removal of on-street parking in Winchester. In some cases this is temporary, such as when the Christmas Market is on, and in other cases it is permanent. Using the Park & Ride scheme is fine for visitors, but totally against local residents who are popping into town for 15 minutes to do a bit of shopping. Using the Park & Ride is totally impractical, yet it seems the</p>
Yes	<p>I welcome the 'holistic approach to travel and movement ... to improve health and reduce emissions'. People should be prioritised over cars. More walking/cycling and less driving makes people feel better, reduces obesity and improves health. BUT the current levels of air pollution are a threat to health, so the strategy should explicitly include action to improve air quality. (The air pollution levels are also illegal and a threat to the climate - really don't want to waste Council funds on paying fines.)</p> <p>'Reduce emissions' - this doesn't apply only to traffic, but to buildings, industries, etc. This should be spelled out. It can be mitigated by a renewable energy drive, which will help the Council reach its targets.</p>
Yes	<p>I'd love to see the Council to do much more to encourage safe healthy cycling as a means of leisure and practical transport. For me this centres on investment in new safe coherent cycling routes. With electrically assisted bikes becoming mainstream there are fewer restrictions to a relatively hilly city like Winchester embracing cycling like many Cities on the continent.</p>
Yes	<p>If this means fewer cars in the city centre, then I agree. Hard to argue against improving health and happiness...who wants to make it worse??</p>

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	city centre, remove short stay car parks in Middle Brook, leaving car parking for disabled access only. All other car parking should be pushed towards Tower Street and Chesil car parks and the Park and Rides. Winchester residents are probably afraid to cycle around the rush hour period and understand that the benefits of cycling will be undone by the pollution from endless streams of cars commuting in and out of Winchester.
Yes	It's really great to see cutting emissions mentioned alongside improving health outcomes. For such a compact walkable and cyclable city, Winchester's traffic is very bad, the Council needs to grasp opportunities to put non car travel at the heart of Winchester's cultural, social and economic life. Currently the planning of the city is very anti pedestrian and anti cycling which is a barrier to
Yes	Many health problems especially among the elderly and less affluent need urgent attention. Catering for these groups is essential.
Yes	More needs to be done to dissuade vehicles from coming into town centres causing air pollution which then impacts on health. Could the council promote more electric vehicles in its own fleet?
Yes	<p>My earlier point about renewable energy also applies here, especially if coupled with reducing emissions which we have not been very good at locally. The council needs to take a strong leadership role in these activities and become respected as a role model for other councils. What an amazing win-win this would be.</p> <p>Nurturing a strong community spirit and enhancing the health of local residents should be a core value underpinning future planning all local developments clearly planned to achieve it with demonstrable results being measured and fed back as a routine part of everyday business.</p> <p>The recent planning disasters over the re-development over Silver Hill, the Station approach and the Cattle Market need to be reflected on from a perspective of community health and well being as taking into account points have raised above rather than just from a business and income generating a point of view important tho such concerns are.</p> <p>Let's develop a city where the needs of local residents and local businesses are catered for in an integrated way that recognises their interdependence and enhances the success of both.</p> <p>We need to grow a city that is healthy, has a real community heart and is one that we can all be proud of as a place to live and work that will continue to enhance the lives of our grandchildren long after we are all dead.</p>
Yes	<p>Not too bad but don't overdo the spending on festivals etc Transport and health are the main concerns.</p> <p>As for emissions, I had to give up my employment as a result of this problem. I give you the example of City Road where when the traffic signals are red at both ends of the road the result is vehicles are held in both directions with their engines idling. the stench of carbon monoxide is appalling.</p>
Yes	<p>increased pedestrianisation.</p> <p>There is much that could be done to improve health - but would like to see a clearer strategy for joint and more far-reaching planning with both Public and Environmental Health services.</p>
Yes	Physical health is one issue but mental health is still much underfunded and almost a "taboo" subject. The care of the elderly, a growing problem, will not go away and closing care homes is

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	Please don't forget to invest in mental health services. Events are a great source of local pride, investment, tourism income and help maintain the image of Winchester as a great place to visit and experience new and exciting things. Please back these.
Yes	Please remember that university students are a significant component of the community and treat them as the full voting citizens that they are. They love to volunteer and support a range of the initiatives linked to the health and happiness of the community.
Yes	Pleased to see a reduction in emissions amongst the aims; this should be quantified. 40% by 2020 is the minimum acceptable target. Walking is an excellent way to improve public health of all age groups whilst at home he same time reducing emissions and improving air quality. Winchester is not pedestrian friendly; we need more active discouragement of car use in the city centre, enforcement of speed limits, and promotion of non-car forms of transport. When was the last review of bus routes and/or stops undertaken? Do such routes reflect the changes in housing density in parts of the city? For example many more people live on Chilbolton Avenue than did even five years ago, but still no bus service!
Yes	Probably a need to develop a greater number of locations/venues to support cultural events. Very important to achieve targets for the reduction in emissions if Winchester is to meet is objectives in terms of public health. Instrumental in this will be the need to secure at least 15% of
Yes	Remember that excellence in green infrastructure is integral to people's mental and physical health
Yes	So, as a weekly fitness minded person myself, I question why it is so expensive to keep fit, yet so cheap to become overweight? I think gyms should be subsidised for the poorest and gym membership in council run gyms, cheaper than other offerings. Make fitness and healthy dieting
Yes	Subsidise businesses that promote healthy living and penalise those that don't. Encourage cycling and walking. Introduce dedicated cycle routes and lanes around the city. When on roads, ensure these cycle lanes have a curb to separate them from traffic. The biggest threat to the health of Winchester citizens is climate change and thus the emissions targets are extremely important.
Yes	The cultural aspirations are OK - but there's a desperate lack of leadership or reality about them. It needs some courage and proper investment. Winchester city needs a modern, acoustically state-of-the art concert hall - the audience exists, but currently migrates to Southampton University and Basingstoke in preference to paying very substantial prices for lousy acoustics and ancillary services in the cathedral, at the so-called 'Discovery Centre', the Theatre Royal and the remote and socially inaccessible Winchester College. More quality local provision would mean less car travel elsewhere and more walking within the city, in itself a desirable health outcome. Central government has belatedly woken up (at least in the DCMS rhetoric if not reality) to the connection between arts and health alongside physical activity. The strategy should include this
Yes	The greatest long-term threat to our health is climate change so we must work to reduce emissions. Pollution in Winchester is a clear and present threat and needs to be dealt with urgently. Retaining hospital and other health services in the district is essential to the wellbeing of the city. Make it easy for people to walk and cycle to town, to work, to school. Make pedestrians the priority. Make public spaces greener, plant more fruit trees, more edible plants Establish places for teenagers to spend time - remember that they too are part of our community

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	The ongoing funding and support of Trinity Winchester is essential for the health of all Winchesters Homeless. There should be a greater emphasis on supporting the people who are
	The outcomes are laudable but the points made are unclear and feel like they miss the important element of supporting people to take responsibility and ownership for their own health
Yes	Accessing health and fitness facilities is expensive in Winchester, single parents cannot access family memberships despite the fact they are worse off than 2 parent families, safe cycling routes need to be established. The main routes into town are dangerous and the cycle path requires people to cross and cycle on busy roads
Yes	The programme of festivals and events needs to take into careful consideration how it effects businesses in Winchester, particularly retailers, and the general public in terms of disruption/distraction to their normal enjoyment of the city and its facilities.
	The giant Coca-cola truck was a spectacular result of getting it wrong both in terms of public
Yes	There are over 40,000 premature deaths each each from air pollution. Air pollution also adversely effects our health through asthma and breathing problems. This is particularly so for the young and the old.
	The source of this air pollution is burning fossil fuels to run our transport, heat our building and power our machines.
Yes	This is vitally important. One of the growing challenges with Winchester is its infrastructure both in terms of roads and parking. Winchester has always been a cultural and vibrant city and a strong emphasis should be on retaining this.
	The health system is also under incredible pressure and therefore the opportunity to joint
Yes	This should be the first priority. By putting the Health and Happiness of a community first many of the other priorities that you have listed will be enhanced because of better community connections and a increase in creative and entrepreneurial behaviour. I am disappointed to see that of your
Yes	To improve the health of the people pollution needs to be severely cut. The only way to do this is to reduce to an absolute minimum the traffic permitted in the city centre.
Yes	Vital to reduce traffic pollution and encourage more walking and cycling. Obesity appears to be an increasing health problem; more prominence needs to be given to it. How do all the fast food outlets help?
Yes	Volunteering and supporting are key, especially working to promote and improve the outside environment to improve human health and habitat to.
Yes	We also need to monitor air pollution. More people means more cars. The health of our people is important too.
Yes	We have to get the city's leisure facilities up to the spec that is expected in a city such as Winchester. We need to make them available to all and consider the public health implications of

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	<p>We very much welcome "Ensure that a holistic approach to travel and movement is integrated into all Council plans and strategies, to improve health and reduce emissions". Climate change is the biggest threat to health so the emissions target is important. Walking (or cycling) instead of driving will reduce obesity and make people healthier.</p> <p>Air pollution is a serious threat to health both short-term (respiratory disease, dementia, early death) and long term (greenhouse gas from traffic causing global warming) so the Council Strategy should include stronger and more urgent action to bring air quality down to legal limits. We believe that the Air Quality Management Plan contents in Dec 2016 Cabinet report will not reduce pollution enough.</p> <p>It would be better to quantify the air pollution and greenhouse gas emission targets in the Strategy. Air quality is already set by Government so can easily be added to the Strategy. The action plan attached to the draft 3-year Council Strategy report to Cabinet December 2016, though not to this consultation document, includes the target (which we support) or a 40% reduction by 2020, which could therefore easily be put into the actual Strategy. We recommend also including the renewable energy target which has been referred to in previous Cabinet reports (CAB2682 15</p>
Yes	<p>Winchester has a broad range of cultural and sporting activities that take place throughout the year which my family attend and enjoy. I am looking forward to seeing the new leisure centre once it has been built and what new activities myself and my family can participate in. Living in the town centre I am aware of the impact of traffic emissions to my family's health so measures to</p>
Yes	<p>Winchester is doing better than a lot of other councils but many folk still need to lose weight, give up smoking, exercise more, drink less and eat healthily and the council need to be more active in pressing this home.</p>
Yes	<p>Yes, I strongly agree with all of these points. What they miss is a depth of understanding of the effects of a pollution on health. (Asthma, dementia, early death, to name but a few).</p> <p>More encouragement for walking and the implementation of safe cycle lanes will encourage health, reduce pollution and reduce obesity.</p>
Yes	<p>Yes, mainly. I certainly agree the programme of festivals and sports events is an excellent way of raising the spirits, encouraging creative artists, improving health and making Winchester distinctive.</p> <p>However, encouraging people to, for example, enjoy street fairs, markets and festivals in the open air is not to be welcomed unless the air is good enough to breathe. Winchester city is regularly worse than surrounding areas for air quality. A firm commitment to hitting targets for emissions is essential.</p> <p>I also think that in the city itself there should be a more visible presence of moves towards a greener more sustainable city. As a minor example there are still shops in the city whose doors remain open in subzero temperatures while their heating is blasting into the high street! The council could use posters on its own buildings to display what is being done regarding improving air quality and saving energy. A website is not sufficient - there needs to be a visible reminder of what is being done and what we can all do further. Saving money by developing renewable energy</p>

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	<p>You wish to improve the health and happiness of the community so, as we have yet again heard in the news that Climate Change is the biggest threat to health, the emissions target is of extreme importance.</p> <p>It seems to me that without alternative renewable sources of energy, which are generally not a risk to human health, you will not hit your target. So why not have a renewable target?</p> <p>In the news again recently we were reminded that Air Pollution is a serious threat to health, causing respiratory disease, dementia and early death, children are most at risk. Greenhouse gas from traffic as we know, causes global warming so the Strategy should be more positive to bring air pollution down to legal limits.</p>
Not Answered	<p>Climate change is the biggest threat to health locally and globally, it is vital that the council commits to an emissions target.</p> <p>A renewable energy target should be included in this strategy, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, the council cannot achieve its targets.</p> <p>Air pollution is a serious threat to health - both short-term through respiratory disease, dementia, early death and long term via greenhouse gases from traffic causing global climate change. Therefore the strategy should include stronger and more urgent action to bring air pollution down to legal limits. More walking and cycling means less driving and associated emissions. The physical exercise derived from walking and cycling will reduce obesity and make people healthier</p>
Not Answered	<p>If Improve the health and happiness of our community is the "Community outcome", I imagine most people would agree with it (why wouldn't you?). There is a setting the scene introduction and a list of laudable aims but little or no detail of how they will be funded, delivered or measured.</p>
Not Answered	<p>One community problem you need to tackle is the isolation of people living in rural areas who have had their bus and other community services cut, local shops closed from supermarkets taking over etc.</p> <p>Another, is that climate change is the biggest risk to people's health and well-being and so far, the local Councils are not taking this seriously enough. The air pollution in Winchester is above legal levels and you need to take urgent action to address this.</p> <p>Providing the infrastructure for alternative transport around means people will walk and cycle</p>
Not Answered	<p>One of the biggest threats to health in central Winchester is air pollution. Urgent action is needed to bring this down to legal limits. Is there a target to bring emissions down to a set level during this period?</p>
Not Answered	<p>The aim of aim of improving health, and a cohesive and satisfying community is of vital importance . The council continues to fail to develop effective strategies to tackle greenhouse gas , NO2 and particulate emissions and to address the need for sustainable transport and energy supply. Air pollution continues at illegal levels in Winchester. Waiting times at RHCH</p>
Not Answered	<p>The health and happiness of a large number of local people is seriously diminished by the noise and air pollution of the very busy road between Wickham and Winchester.</p>
Not Answered	<p>Yes and no!</p> <p>An urgent health priority is reduction of carbon and toxic emissions which reach unlawful and damaging levels in/around town</p>
No	<p>Again see earlier comments. There is a lack of doctors surgeries for the existing population and with the planned increase in housing this will only get worse. Traffic in the town centre is often gridlocked and only likely to get worse with a further increase in population and reduction in parking. The net effect of this will be to significantly increase pollution in the city centre with</p>

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
No	<p>air pollution kills about 50 people a year in Winchester district and cost the economy millions in treating respiratory, cardiac and cancers linked to it.</p> <p>Communities are crucial in supporting a holistic approach to any issue but transport is key here. Giving residents the means to travel sustainably will reduce pollution and improve the health of everyone. Winchester needs a stronger commitment to cutting pollution.</p> <p>Help communities produce renewable energy. Community groups can work to install rooftop solar and district heating schemes.</p>
No	<p>As, if winchester is overdeveloped travel, pollution, health and happiness of residents would all suffer.</p>
No	<p>Happiness is not quantifiable - to one person it's a pint of beer to another its a walk in a park. Volunteering is the saviour of all Councils and large organisation like the RHS. People volunteer because they want to - it should never form part of a Council's plan for a city and should never be used to 'save money'. Winchester is in the centre of a very rich and beautiful County. There are countless opportunities for walking, cycling, exploring, shopping the list is endless. We have leisure centres with swimming pools and a wonderful Discovery Centre in the library. I.f the sale of sports grounds attached to schools was stopped then health and fitness could be put back into the school curriculum. The day at the school desk is short enough to allow plenty of time for 'after-school' activities which I'm sure the parents would love. 'Holistic' approach to transport?! Does this mean that there will be so many buses that we will not suffer stress waiting for them? A</p>
No	<p>I only partly agree.</p> <p>Everyone needs access to natural greenspace and this needs to be improved to improve health and wellbeing. Support for engagement with the natural world and improving the natural world is key. Greening back gardens, wildlife corridors in towns, and more public green space is key along with opportunities to be involved in nature conservation.</p> <p>Support for school children to enjoy the arts and support for children to enjoy the natural world - forest schools and city farms</p> <p>Use of derelict housing e.g. large house in Colebrook Street to house refugees.</p> <p>Climate change is the biggest threat to health so the emissions target is important. They should add a renewable target, because without alternative renewable sources of energy, they'll never hit their target.</p> <p>Air pollution is a serious threat to health - both short-term (respiratory disease, dementia, early death) and long term (greenhouse gas from traffic causing global warming) so the Strategy should include stronger and more urgent action to bring air pollution down to legal limits. And more</p>
No	<p>I support community-led plans across the District, of which there are now 26 completed and a further 7 under development. Many plans seek an increased range of activities and facilities for all ages, so the outcome is supported.</p> <p>However, while the outcome as drafted meets a number of important issues related to healthy and happy communities, it makes no mention of the importance of people being active citizens and playing a role in community life. While individuals can take action to be healthy and happy, this outcome is more likely to be achieved if the 'community' is also healthy and happy. This includes the human element (volunteering, standing as an elected representative or simply being a good neighbour) and also the build infrastructure of community centres and village halls that act as a focus for community life. In times of austerity it is important that communities are encouraged to be proactive and do things for themselves. These aspects are also well reflected by communities</p>

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
No	Sport and leisure activities are important, but cultural activities are also vital and festivals and events are not a way to develop a healthy grassroots community from this perspective. Cultural creators need affordable spaces to work in, and affordable opportunities to present their work. They cannot compete with high rent/rate paying enterprises. Professional cultural creators, if attracted to live in the district and enabled to be financially viable, offer enrichment to all through
No	The air quality in many parts of Winchester has illegally high levels of pollution, increasing the risks of ill health, particularly for the young (dementia) and the young (asthma) and costing the NHS funds which should not be required for preventable diseases.
No	This does not go far enough in protecting the people from dangerous emissions in the city centre in particular. Economically there are huge differences in income and health between the richest and poorest members of the community. A new leisure facility is needed, and the sooner it is built the better- hopefully on the site in Bar End. Riverpark open spaces need to be protected - they
No	This is all very well. But climate change is the biggest threat to the health and happiness of our district. It is essential that the Council formally commits to taking action to reduce carbon emission and promote energy efficiency as a leading priority. It should also commit to specific a target for
No	What about your responsibilities for mental health, public health, young people's services, care services? No mention. THESE are what supports health. You are now responsible. Yet you pass as much as you can to teh CCGs or just stop. Bring back childrens centres immediately: they are good value and promote wellbeing. That is what matters. The festivals etc will sort themselves, and are more business and comfort for councillors, not value for teh needy.
Yes	50 m Swimmingpool is urgently required Cycling events Focus on limiting traffic when organising events e.g. incentives to use Park&Ride during events with prolonged working ours and free entry or vouchers
Yes	Absolutely, vital to improve pedestrian and cycle routes through the city and outer areas to discourage driving, which should improve air quality and encourage personal activity.
Yes	Again in principle - the Winchester residents are fiercely proud of our history and culture - new developments should centre around this. The new buildings need to blend into the beautiful historical architecture not stick out. Let's bring more tourists to the city and make the centre larger but in keeping with a historic town. The new development on the old Shorts Garage next to the cinema is testimony that this can be done. Let's build slowly and careful to a town plan that
Yes	Again these are listed as things you'll do something about, not really outcomes. I do appreciate that it is really hard to come up with measures for these kinds of things, but we should at least try. As an example, we're already seeing cities (allbeit larger than Winchester) looking at restricting the volume of diesel vehicles coming into the centre, something which I believe is a very significant factor in Winchester due to the large volume of diesel cars that are now prevalent in the City. A suggestion: For items being delivered to shops - Have them delivered to a single depot on the outskirts of town, by the traditional large, typcially diesel powered, lorries. With the loads then being transferred to smaller, ideally electrically powered vehicles that can then take them to the

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	<p>Air pollution is a serious threat in Winchester, as was shown by the air pollution demonstration. Air pollution has become so serious that Paris has allowed only cars with odd numbered licence plates to use roads and for 3 days in December public transport was free. See the Independent Dec 08 2016.</p> <p>Beijing in China has banned traffic for 2 weeks.</p> <p>Winchester needs to set targets.</p> <p>You state you want to encourage physical activity across the district. Given the amount of traffic</p>
Yes	<p>Air quality in Winchester City Centre is very poor. A strategy to reduce the number and duration of vehicle journeys (particularly from diesel) across the city is important.</p> <p>The flow of traffic across the city needs to be improved, stationary traffic creates the most pollution and too many traffic lights interrupting the flow of traffic actually makes increases pollution levels.</p> <p>WCC should also consider making Romsey Road one way between St James' Lane and High Street such that traffic can only travel downhill.</p> <p>The one way system from Southgate Street to High Street should also be reversed so that traffic leaving tower street car park travels downhill along High Street and can exit the city via Southgate Street/St Cross Road or carry on along Jewry Street to North Walls</p>
Yes	All sounds good, so long as it doesn't become one great leisure park at expense of countryside!
Yes	Already doing this very well so please keep it going.
Yes	Also promoting clean air and cycling
Yes	Although I like the community outcome, I have concerns with the proposed 'support of programme of festivals and events' in respect of traffic. Winchester roads too easily grind to a halt whenever
Yes	and protect out green spaces / exceptional countryside
Yes	<p>another but.... This is very woolly.</p> <p>Volunteers are no substitute for properly trained workers in many areas of public service.</p> <p>I suggest that festivals such as boomtown should be required to encourage attendees to travel by means other than private vehicles.</p> <p>We need to see car use in the city centre reduced. Cooperation with other local authorities to develop standards for traffic free areas would be desirable. last year I visited various cities and towns along the Rhine and was impressed at how well traffic was kept out of city centres.</p>
Yes	As a volunteer for two local charities.....more support pls. Only so much we volunteers can do.
Yes	Ban diesel cars from the city centre and take EFFECTIVE action to reduce the unacceptable city centre pollution. Support HHFT and RHCH and provide more effective care in the community.
Yes	Better sports/exercise facilities are essential!
Yes	<p>Broadly agree - but how will the Council ensure that emissions are reduced quickly to safe levels and the most accessible form of exercise - walking - is the first choice for residents in the City.</p> <p>What engagement is planned to bring the public with the Council on its journey to providing leisure</p>

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
	Bus services need improvement, particularly with regard to reliability and punctuality.
Yes	Moving the Leisure Centre to Bar End will be retrograde in terms of pedestrian and cycle access, and indeed of car access for the majority of local residents. Replacement alongside the present buildings will be preferable, and will not seriously impact on the grass pitches available.
Yes	But remember, there is more to life than sport! Recreation is a much wider, non-competitive role.
Yes	But working out how traffic flow is crucial - and there has been little action on this. One low cost way to get more people active is to make cycling a more attractive option - Winchester is not a
Yes	By having the courage to move away from the standard business/commercial stuff, and building on the history and heritage of the City.
Yes	Completely agree
Yes	concentrate on some of the easy things - like encouraging people to go for walks, connecting up safe cycle routes, noticing our wildlife
Yes	Cycle lanes into town from all the Park & Rides in Winchester is a vital part of this
Yes	Cycle tracks are pretty rubbish through town and totally unconnected. It would be great to be able cycle through town from each quarter without having to get off and push in many places or be stuck in the pollution round the ine way system Air pollution should come down immediately to legal limits as we know people are dying now. This is unacceptable in a wealthy, educated city like Winchester.
Yes	Details of where you are now, and where you are aiming to reach would be more descriptive. Statements above are just broad brush strokes.
Yes	Direct link to environment specially air quality
Yes	Do something about pollution in the City centre. We need Cambridge or Oxford style park and ride to keep all visitors cars out of the City.
Yes	Does this mean we can expect the new leisure centre to be complete and open by 2020?
Yes	Don't under estimate the importance of environmental sustainability (= the green agenda) and the need for a radical change to traffic management to reduce pollution in the City Centre. The proposed new Leisure Centre at Bar End will be an important improvement to sporting / fitness facilities in the City and I congratulate the council in negotiating the acquisition of the Tesco land that will facilitate a much better project. But learning from the lessons of the past, it is vital to prepare a master plan or development framework for the Bar End area before determining where and how the Leisure Centre is developed. It will become a significant gateway building on an arterial route from M3 to the City so will either be an ugly brute of a large crinkly tin box or an
Yes	Elderly social isolation & loneliness must be tackled and again be an immediate priority.
Yes	Especially the first point here.
Yes	Facilities have to be subsidised or your simply providing them for the more affluent members of our community. I work full time and cannot afford to be a member of Riverpark!
Yes	enced in areas so dogs can be safely let on leads would be a nice thing to have access too. More PREVENTION check ups at GP surgeries Longer opening hours to suit modern working practices and to avoid people having to take days off to make appointments. MORE work to prevent PEOPLE DRIVING KIDS TO SCHOOL and blocking the roads , the FREEDOM to pick your school has caused CHAOS on the roads . GO TO YOUR LOCAL SCHOOL !! or MOVE This change alone would reduce pollution HUGELY . Reducing running EMPTY BUSES would also help .
Yes	Festivals & events need to be attractive to all income brackets not just the wealthy
Yes	Fine, except that the new Sports Centre by Kings Meadow will oblige most residents of Winchester to take their car, rather than walk; which contradicts the second aim listed above.
Yes	Focus on walking, cycling and community investment and sports, leisure, arts. The city is not a bypass, it is a destination with many activities and benefits to visiting and staying.

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	Fully Integrated public transport system including a bus station, park & ride, bike lanes Reduce traffic in city centre especially harmful diesel emissions More outside public space, for eating, drinking, performance spaces for festivals & street artists. Open up culverted rivers to enhance environment. Trees. Beautiful public cultural buildings which will draw locals and visitors alike. Support our local hospital facilities
Yes	Great development in this field over the last 10 years
Yes	How about a better public bike hire system? More housing and tourism puts more pressure on an already congested city centre. This should be a priority under this strategy pillar (residents happiness) and the previous ones as currently I think the congestion stops residents from
Yes	However to make this outcome more concrete, the council need to have a daily face-to-face point of contact that allows creative people to pop in and discuss their ideas with support and guidance as to how they can turn these ideas into entrepreneurial opportunities. The Participatory City/Open Works project in Lambeth is a live research project which has that shows how a council can empower its community and maximise its local material and human resources
Yes	However, I don't see anything about providing leisure facilities across the District. Wherever new housing is provided it should be mandatory to provide some form of leisure / fitness facilities. Winchester is too far to travel from many parts of the District and with the lack of public transport, makes it impossible for many residents (and Council Tax payers) to use facilities provided in
Yes	I am over 60 and very much enjoy walking and cycling but find it hard to avoid smelly traffic fumes or intimidating vehicles. The details of bullet point number one will be crucial, including actual targets which should be seriously ambitious if we are to avoid the worst effects of climate change.
Yes	I do agree with this although once again the City Council has been complacent in that they have in the past not supported good causes within the city when there would have been no cost to them, however if the organisers are not in the in crowd in the past certain senior officers have not
Yes	I do not agree with moving the leisure centre. It is in a great location with free parking nearby. If I had to drive further or pay for parking I would not use it. I also think the facilities are great.
Yes	I do not support the suggested aim of relying on volunteers to run services - everyone should be rewarded for their contribution.
Yes	I don't know a lot about athletics but I understand we now have a first class track at Bar End. Talking about events would it not be possible to have an event " the Hampshire games"
Yes	I don't think reducing the amount of parking in the city will help. Business will suffer as public transport is not always an option, sometimes we need to come into town for a quick visit which is
Yes	I feel this is well-intentioned and I support the general aims.
Yes	I love the cultural events that already take place in Winchester - as a central resident however something needs to be done with parking/traffic flow within the town. Also the regular market that is on could be stopped or moved on event days as the high street is already ready to burst on a normal day - add to that all the extra people coming in for the event and it is somewhere that we
Yes	I particularly support the idea of delivering more events/ festivals; these are key factors in making Winchester a stimulating place to live. I support in principle the development of enhanced leisure facilities. I sit within the Bar End Forum and am actively engaged in securing the best possible outcome for this initiative. Social awareness is also essential to improving quality of life for all sections of community, especially those sections who are not used to self-expression. I welcome a holistic approach to all aspects of council planning, not just travel and movement. There has been a tendency towards a piecemeal approach to projects in the interests of expediency; think Silver Hill, think Chesil Street extended care housing; it would have made more sense to build the extended care housing within the Silver Hill scheme, to keep that community engaged with city
Yes	I use my bike a lot for transport around Winchester - it's not easy! It would be good if there was more focus on cyclists' needs during policy making.

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	I welcome the holistic approach to travel and movement. It should encourage walking and cycling as these help to improve fitness and reduce obesity as well as reduce emissions. The emissions to be reduced should include nitrogen oxides, particulate matter and carbon dioxide, so that both air pollution and climate change are tackled.
Yes	I would like the City Council to include an emissions target and a renewable target. I am cycling regularly in the city centre and find it quite shocking that nothing is done about the air quality which is above legal limits. This could be helped by making the centre friendlier for pedestrians and cyclists (and of course low emission buses).
Yes	I would like to see tighter controls over festivals, whether that be council involvement or stricter controls placed on landowners after this and recent years unfortunate events at Boomtown.
Yes	If you wish to reduce emissions that means reducing the use of private cars and encouraging public transport. For those living in rural areas public transport needs to be vastly improved to achieve this. I see nothing in the plan about this. Providing new leisure facilities in Winchester is
Yes	Improve cycle routes across town - even considering dedicated contra-flow cycle lanes around the one-way system (along Jewry Street for instance).
Yes	Improve the walking access along the Water Meadows north of Garnier Road. People walk and keep fit and stay out of hospital. In particular the first 200m along the stream opposite Meadow View house between the College playing fields. Currently a mud bath.
Yes	In para 5 above, I suggest you delete "encouraging" and insert "encourage" as it should be an active verb rather than a present participle. (Sorry if that is a bit pedantic but I do support the correct use of our English language!). Having seen several comments in the written and visual media in recent weeks, I feel it might be worth trying to bring the medical profession on board by encouraging them to get the physical and dietary message across to their respective "flocks". I am not sure that the introduction of new leisure facilities is a high immediate priority unless it can
Yes	Incentives to encourage less traffic on roads with cheaper and more frequent bus service to surrounding villages in particular a late bus at weekends for leisure activity participation.
Yes	It is essential that dependency on car is reduced both in Winchester and nationwide. This should be reflected in the objectives.
Yes	It would be sensible to locate major leisure and sports facilities where people can reach them easily by foot, bicycle or regular public transport from all parts of the city - ie near the centre.
Yes	Item one - Presumably a holistic approach travel will reduce the domination of cars in the streets.
Yes	It's essential to get this holistic approach to travel and movement (which I assume covers car parking?) ASAP . This includes developing a masterplan for areas such as Bar End, as advised
Yes	It's great to hear this is a priority
Yes	Its no all about sport
Yes	Maintaining community spirit is fundamental to success however as headcount grows, services such as local policing shrink?
Yes	More cycle lanes and improvements which make walking safer. For example crossings on the Worthy Road and a pedestrian bridge or lights over the railway at Park Rd. Ensure significant leisure facilities remain at Gordon Road to encourage people to walk to the
Yes	More cycle ways, walking routes with dog bins and parking please
Yes	More or less agree. However, the Council will need to demonstrate very clearly that its plans are achieved to the benefit of everyone. It is very easy to respond to those who shout loudest - while those who are less vocal or poorer get forgotten or ignored.
Yes	need a new lesure centre not have all sport for over 60s on tuesday
Yes	Need better better cycling schemes and routes connected across the city especially on School runs [making it safe] & getting to work
Yes	none
Yes	Not at the exprience of Christian festivals.

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	Please don't price those on lower incomes out of the provision of facilities at the new bar end site. Please ensure that opportunities are there for all.
Yes	Please remember there needs to be more community efforts in the parishes in the countryside
Yes	Public transport within the area is generally good. However bus fares are expensive and I am aware that some families use taxis as it is cheaper than taking a family on the bus. Obviously this
Yes	Re Travel etc, I am not sure of the meaning of 'a holistic approach' but I assume it means trying to achieve a modal shift away from the use of cars and towards walking, cycling and bus transport
Yes	re. Leisure facilities: more need to be available in the rural areas of WCC in order to reduce transport congestion and pollution by using and adapting the infrastructure that is already available in the community, ie. village and church halls; school premises; etc. and to support sport and leisure providers/clubs to move some of their activities into the outlying communities.
Yes	Recreation facilities that are energy efficient and sustainable are most welcome. New facilities need to aim to reduce traffic or at least not increase car traffic. They need to be conveniently linked with cycle routes and public transport. Any events should minimise waste. Events need to be organised with the view to provide direct public transport links from start to the end to avoid car congestion and pollution.
Yes	Refurbish River Park Leisure Centre AND build new facilities at Bar End. Promote sport and recreation more actively with your partners. Promote more volunteering roles aimed at making Winchester a clean and 'looked-after' place (e.g. encourage and actively support volunteer litter-pickers in every neighbourhood).
Yes	River park site should be retained, otherwise the net outcome could easily be negative.
Yes	Scouts and Guides - great organisations - all volunteer leaders and need lots of funding help for HQ buildings and equipment - don't forget these people!
Yes	see previous for words such as support etc.
Yes	So DON'T build millions of houses on the outskirts of the City and let people drive everywhere. Build cycle routes - EVERYWHERE. And make this a city of the bike before it's too late. make it safe for children and adults to cycle - the place is a perfect size for this. right not it's downright dangerous. Soon 2000 extra people at Barton Farm will be hopping in their 4x4s to drive into town, or to the leisure centre. Why is the no cycle route connexion to Winchester Village . Why why why - you fools. You are ruining the place for all of us with your lack of forward-thinking and community spirit. And DON'T close River Park (a leisure centre within walking distance of an entire community) or
Yes	Sounds good but don't kill off city centre by seeing cars as an evil - be more imaginative.
Yes	Sounds like some good plans here
Yes	Targets for reductions of pollution and should be aggressive and be pursued as a priority particularly around schools and recreation areas but also in City and Town Centres
Yes	The above is all motherhood and apple pie, and frankly rather modest. The aims are very audible but they duck the major issue of council funded and private social care (particularly for the elderly)
Yes	The bus station is beyond urgent need of renovation and rural services are constantly being cut and are expensive. Can an agreement be made between the different bus services to give some sort of cross service ticket - or services supported to provide more cut price tickets eg if you buy 10 you get a discount.
Yes	The council might care to start but acknowledging it is at one end of the South Downs walk. Hardly promoted, you would only know if you were an enthusiast .
Yes	The council needs to provide financial support for community based volunteer organisations that provide great community service at low cost. We need better public transport covering more places if residents are to reduce car usage in the city. Closing car parks does not work as Park & Ride is not practical for people who live in the city

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	<p>The high level of toxic emissions and particulates in our cities is seriously detrimental not least to pedestrians including young children.</p> <p>Councillors must act responsibly and end the avoidance and denial. The disgrace is that most Councillors and Officers are unaffected as they live elsewhere.</p>
Yes	<p>The holistic approach is vital. To me this means:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - planning communities that are more self-sufficient, with great infrastructures for local facilities to which people can easily walk and cycle. This provides opportunities for more exercise, less pollution, fewer carbon emissions and greater community feel. - providing open spaces where people can relax and breathe - reduce air pollution - particularly in the city centre. I would support an expansion of the pedestrianised area and incentives for people to use electric vehicles - a leisure centre that is for all - and is easy to get to. I'm not sure that the Bar End site is the best location, particularly when you have nearly 2,500 homes being built on the other side of the city!
Yes	<p>The key issues seem to be reducing diesel emissions & speeding movement of traffic through Winchester. Its a shame that Winchester never got the bye-pass it needed and that the A34/M3 junction at Winnall is so badly designed. Through traffic trying to avoid the traffic jams is forced through Chilbolton Avenue. Serious study is required into how to provide a better alternative to</p>
Yes	<p>The most important thing the council (though maybe it will be Hants CC) should be doing is carrying out a transport study, with a view to minimising hold-ups, specially at rush hours and when there is a problem on the M3. All this (and the over-provision of parking in the centre) adds to the pollution for which Winchester is currently notorious. Addressing this has to be the number one priority.</p> <p>There are currently plenty of festivals and events, some of which are poorly advertised, so one only knows of their existence after they've happened (the Chronicle is often guilty of this). What</p>
Yes	<p>The new leisure centre should be at Bar End and should include the 50m pool.</p> <p>Ditch the frankly stupid ambitions on increasing car parking being promoted by Councillor Byrne.</p>
Yes	<p>The previous emphasis and strategies seem to have been focussed on Winchester City, there needs to be a more regional approach to benefit the "Community".</p>
Yes	<p>The provision of new leisure facilities is long overdue</p>
Yes	<p>The 'we will's are fine but there is an important one missing: something about protecting green space and encouraging its use for recreation.</p>
Yes	<p>These are all platitudes. You need practical ideas.</p>
Yes	<p>This statement is full of hyperbole! It is all fine to say what is listed, but there is no indication at all as to how you would deliver this.</p> <p>With the development of Winchester Village, Kings Barton, Chesil Street, and numerous conversions of houses into flats e.g. Chilbolton Avenue, it appears that there is NO concern about traffic pollution, both in air quality and noise.</p> <p>Park and Rides should be enforced for all people coming into the city. All houses should only have 1 parking space and 1 visitors parking permit. For most of the city centre, all parking should be 24 hour/7 day a week resident parking permits + 1 visitors permit, ONLY.</p> <p>The Christmas Market has taken over the city this year in an unprecedented way. It ruins going into town to by food and shop, with the huge congestion it brings. It appears that the residents are totally ignored by those who give out the licences for the Christmas Market. It is too big, and on for too long. It is shameful to see a Mulled Wine stall + chalets around the War memorial, who this year had only put the poppies there one week before they were removed for the Market. This should not happen again.</p>

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	Travel is a big issue. Local bus services are too infrequent and costly. Never use them as a result. Park and Ride is fine - but not for short trips to shops. Winchester is surrounded by people living in the countryside who need access from time to time to Winchester. Winchester still has an issue with air quality.
Yes	Trouble is you cant control all of this. Take the decision of Network Rail to build mult-storey parking without referring to the community!
Yes	Very likely that new leisure facilities at Bar End will increase car traffic. Ensure that there is ample public transport to the leisure centre.
Yes	Volunteering cannot and must not be relied upon
Yes	Volunteering should provide add-ons to public services; it should never be used to replace public
Yes	We need to ensure we improve air quality so that all pollutants are at levels below EU maximum pollution levels. This can be achieved by a range of measures the most significant of which is the development of a Transport :Plan based on a City Transport Study
Yes	Well-being can be improved through countryside walks, pathways and cycling routes, etc., so access to these - from urban and semi-rural areas - should be prioritised to improve access for all.
Yes	Who produced all this stuff. Probably some expensive firm of Management Consultants. At least they are doing well out of it.
Yes	Why just leisure facilities in Winchester (Point 4 of the plan)? An inclusion of outer towns and villages is vital to increase opportunities for all those people not in the city.
Yes	Winchester is becoming more vibrant with festival and events - but they must not all be for those with money. We are not a very diverse community, and I would welcome events which promoted greater understanding of, and links to, other faiths/races. Now that the retirement age has increased and more people will have to work until 66, I believe that it will become harder to recruit volunteers. There is an amazing volunteer sector in
Yes	Winchester is not a cultural hub in the way that it could be. Too little happens, and a lot of what
Yes	With an emphasis please on not preaching to the converted... Finding clever ways, working through local community groups (and paying them) to reach so-called 'hard to reach' communities
Yes	With the exception of points 4 & 6 your plan for achieving this is full of great mission statements but very weak on actual practical plans of what you are going to do. This is a recurring theme in
Yes	work on and build with the current successes the city has made i.e. Farmers Market, Christmas Market, Hat Fair etc.. Improve tourism but also understand the needs of tourists and visitors to the city to enhance there visit.
Yes	Yes but please encourage the organisers of the Win hester Festival to embrace the 21st Century - the programme is always incredibly stuffy.
Yes	Yes with caveat of concern My sense of this survey is that it is patronising and lacks concrete detail as to the concrete nature of WCC's aspirations, a little like parenthood and apple pie. The devil is in the detail. More detailed meaningful enegagement with Citizens is called for at a local level.
Yes	You have a very long way to go before you perform even at a minimally acceptable level. I welcome a holistic approach to travel that will reduce emissions. Air pollution must be the only priority until 2020. This must mean vehicle restrictions in the centre, banning diesel and petrol vehicles from large areas, closing car parks in the centre, and building more facilities for walking, cycling, and public transport. CO2 and NOx emissions must both be reduced. Planning policies

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
Yes	You need to do more to consider how you will deal with air quality problems in the city centre. There is far too much traffic in central Winchester and this discourages people from visiting the centre. Much more consideration should be given to controlling access to the centre by motor
Yes	You need to place more emphasis on improving air quality and reducing emissions.
Answered	Developing new leisure facilities in Winchester must be done in a location that is sensitive to the risk of environmental degradation, especially with the respect to the risk of generating additional journeys by car through Winchester's one way system, where air pollution is already in excess of legal limits. It is therefore imperative that new leisure facilities are located in the city centre where they will be accessible on foot and by public transport. This is why cities have centres; as soon as development that is accessed by the public ie large numbers of people is located outside the centre, the effect on traffic through the centre degrades the quality of the central area, leading to further decline in the facilities available in the centre.
Not Answered	It is now well known that diesel vehicles emit more pollutants than others. The government was misinformed by so called experts. Council needs to be seen to take positive and practical action to limit fumes within the city.
Not Answered	Reduction of traffic in key locations that cannot support the volumes today - and will be even less able to support them in future OR put in place infrastructure plans to deal with localised high emissions and congestion. eg. bypasses...?
Not Answered	Some of it. Not all. Statement is too broad for a yes / no answer
Answered	WCC should include in its strategy a commitment to reducing air pollution to well below legal limits, including by achieving a significant reduction in emissions and the increased use of
No	... as you make no reference to the the reduction of emissions other than from traffic.
No	Again completely meaningless mission statements, without any details of how you will achieve them. Isn't one of your major policies / plans in indirect conflict to the above i.e. the closure of River Park and building new sports facilities in Barr End? Would this not dramatically reduce the accessibility of vital sports services to the residents of Winchester and dramatically increase the number of people that would have to drive, through the already heavily congested city centre, in order to access these services?
No	Boom town NO
No	I am pleased that the Council aims to tackle emissions and has the target of 40% cut in emissions by 2020 but the strategy must lay out clear actions to achieve this. They should also set a minimum renewable energy target of 20% of the energy used in Winchester District from renewable sources by 2020. The Renewables Revolution is taking place across the globe right now. Winchester City Council, should keep pace with the change to clean power and take a pro-active role toward rapid implementation of clean, safe, sustainable energy generation and reduction in energy use. Taking a pro-active attitude toward Climate Change and engaging the community with local renewable energy projects, energy reduction measures etc., will provide hope for the community, particularly to younger generations, that the council is committed to a truly sustainable future.
No	I would like to see much greater focus on park and ride and traffic restrictions to reduce city centre traffic to reduce diesel emissions which are poisonous. Public campaigns: please do not waste money by duplicating behaviour change campaigns that are already covered by eg central government, NHS, and other orgs.

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
No	I'm afraid I disagree entirely with the leisure centre in Winchester. The only people that will benefit are the residents of Winchester and thus, they should pay rather than the rest of the district.
No	Not for the leisure activities. Green fields are at a premium, and should be protected, not used to build another leisure facility when the leisure centre at River Park is accessible to all, and
No	Silver Hill development is a strategic issue. Winchester is saturated with retail, but would benefit from decent cultural developments, such as concert hall or good exhibition space.
No	The council has NEVER done anything for festivals in the area. The Hat Fair was run and organised for years by volunteers with no involvement from the council whatsoever. Apart from the
No	The one way system currently is congested, this is due to Christmas market, two car parks that currently have attendants but does alleviate the issue around Beales and Iceland. No one has really listened about the leisure facility. Developers and councillors see pound signs in the existing leisure centre in which they can develop housing rather than serve the community, it's a
No	The outcomes are unrealistic given the councils inability to deliver other projects and lack of recognition regarding the delivery of basic public services.
No	The proposed new leisure facilities are a focused on commercial entities profit base and not what
No	The reality is and will continue to be that people own and want to use their cars. This will end as oil becomes scarce but for the foreseeable future it is the situation. People want to come into town to shop, eat, ... and they need to pass through the town. Part of the answer is have by-pass roads and adequate parking near but not in Winchester. Park and Ride is good for work, university and long visits but is not appropriate for shorter visits. Secondly the council needs to look creatively at how to reduce traffic without causing anyone a problem. Make it unnecessary for people to travel. It is obvious that when the schools are holiday the traffic reduces considerably. There must be solutions! If you fill the centre of town with eating establishments then the town will be full of people There are a lot of low income families that are being pushed out budgetwise if the new sports
No	facility is to go through. Need to think of families on lower income where the river park is maybe do some kind of outdoor swimming area like Fleming park with a small nominal charge . Fleming park is free but I dont think Winchester would do anything like that!
No	These objectives are very vague. Winchester suffers from traffic congestion, which makes it hideous to drive, cycle or walk around town much of the time. We need radical proposals to reduce traffic and make the city a more pleasant place to walk or cycle to work, school or shops. We need to consider the approaches into the city (Andover Road, Stockbridge Road, Chesil Stret, etc) and make these less scary for cyclists. Let's not forget that we suffer from terrible air quality. Moving the leisure centre to Bar End means that fewer people can walk to it: is this actually going to work? We could end up with a shiny new leisure centre that few people use: River Park is in an
No	This is not an 'Outcome' These are vague terms and can be interpreted in any way the Council or its officers wish. You have said WHAT you want to achieve but not HOW. This is not credible in the current climate with Government funding being cut to zero in a few years time. Everybody knows that achieving 'Happiness' is an impossible dream and how will you measure it. Recent surveys show that the UK is 23rd in a list of happy nations. What makes WCC think they can do better.
No	Vague corporate speak
No	we have to many students making to much noise and mess
No	What is the actual outcome? How will you measure happiness?
No	You are trying to achieve too much here. The focus should be on the one area that is more required than any other and that is provision of a world class leisure centre to replace River Park urgently. This can not become another Silver Hill shambles where nothing gets done from

Do you agree with the Community outcome? - Do you have any other comment (240)	
No	You have failed as a council to add any renewable energy policies in your local plan Therefore you need a renewable target to hit your own target. plus you need to act to bring air pollution down to legal limits.
No	Youre having a laugh provide local subsidised transport from Colden Common there is not a bus to the local hospital, no cars no jobs and you have cut parking, Our communitites are avoiding